Review Article



Acute Pancreatitis: A Review of Innovations in Diagnosis, Severity Prediction, and Prognostic Scoring System

Gelly Ramya Balaprabha^{*1}, Gandamala JhansiRani², Addula Meenakshi², Aliyangattuvageri Rajeez², Tadikonda Ramarao³ 1. Assistant Professor, Pharm D, CMR College of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, India.

2. Students, CMR College of Pharmacy, Department of Pharm D, Hyderabad, India.

3. Principal, CMR college of Pharmacy, Hyderabad, India.

*Corresponding author's E-mail: ramyapharmd66@gmail.com

Received: 09-12-2024; Revised: 26-02-2025; Accepted: 07-03-2025; Published online: 20-03-2025.

ABSTRACT

An inflammatory disease of the pancreas with a variety of clinical manifestations is called acute pancreatitis. It is among the most frequent reasons for hospital admission due to stomach discomfort. Numerous people suffer from acute pancreatitis, a condition with a diverse origin, clinical presentation, and examination profile. For the aim of appropriate therapy and mortality prevention, identifying patients with pancreatitis who are at risk of severe illness and death is an essential first step. Many multifactorial scoring systems are described in order to forecast the severity. This review provides an overview of available multifactorial scoring systems for predicting severe acute pancreatitis.

Keywords: Acute pancreatitis, Scoring system, Severity prediction.

INTRODUCTION

Pancreas

he pancreas is a soft, lobulated, greyish pink gland that is located posterior to the stomach at the level of the second lumbar vertebra. It is 12-15 cm long and weighs around 80g.¹ The pancreas serves a dual role, to promote both exocrine and endocrine functions. In its exocrine role, the organ releases digestive enzymes and bicarbonate into the small intestine to facilitate food digestion. This involves the production of pancreatic juice by acinar cells, which is then transported to the duodenum through the main pancreatic duct and, in some cases, the accessory pancreatic duct. On the endocrine side, the pancreatic islets of Langerhans release hormones, such as insulin and glucagon, directly into the bloodstream. These hormones play a crucial role in regulating blood sugar levels and ensuring overall balance in energy metabolism and digestion processes.²

Acute pancreatitis

An acute inflammatory condition of the pancreas with varied involvement of adjacent organs is called acute pancreatitis (AP). Recently, two distinct stages of AP have been identified: (I) the early phase, which occurs during the first week of the disease and is marked by organ failure and/or a systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS); and (II) the late phase, which occurs beyond one week and is characterized by local problems.³

The Atlanta classification broadly classifies acute pancreatitis into two categories. These are:

• The initial inflammation of the pancreatic parenchyma and surrounding peri-pancreatic

tissue is the hallmark of interstitial edematous acute pancreatitis.

 Necrotizing acute pancreatitis is distinguished by necrosis of the peri-pancreatic and pancreatic parenchyma.

Based on the severity of the disease, acute pancreatitis is divided into the following types;

- In mild acute pancreatitis, there is the absence of local or systemic complications and organ failure.
- In moderately severe acute pancreatitis are local complications with or without organic failure for less than 48 hours.
- In severe acute pancreatitis, there is persistent organ failure for more than 48 hours with the involvement of one or more than one organ.⁴

The diagnosis of acute presentation is simple, but the major challenge is predicting the progression of the disease course and outcome. The duration of the disease is essential in determining the level of care.⁵ The mortality of acute pancreas ranges from 3% in patients with mild edematous pancreatitis to as high as 20% in patients with pancreatic necrosis.⁶

Etiology

Acute pancreatitis is caused by various factors, including gallstones, alcohol use, hypertriglyceridemia, drug-induced pancreatitis, idiopathic, post-procedural, ampullary stenosis, autoimmune pancreatitis, viral infections, bacterial infections, smoking, trauma, congenital anomalies, genetic disorders, hypercalcemia, parasitic infections, renal disease, toxins, and vasculitis. The occurrence of each etiology varies across geographic



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

regions and socioeconomic strata. Treatment options include endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography or abdominal surgery, ampullary stenosis, autoimmune pancreatitis, viral infections, bacterial infections, smoking, trauma, congenital anomalies, genetic disorders, hypercalcemia, parasitic infections, renal disease, and toxins.^{7,8,9}

Clinical presentations

Symptoms

According to the standard definition of AP, stomach discomfort is a crucial component in the diagnosis of AP. The epigastric region or the right upper quadrant is typically the site of acute, persistent pain that frequently radiates to the back.¹⁰⁻¹² The typical symptom of gallstone pancreatitis is sudden, intense discomfort, while the pain caused by alcoholic, metabolic, and genetic pancreatitis is less severe and poorly localized.¹³ Nausea and vomiting are frequently related to pain.

Signs

Findings of a physical examination might vary and include fever, hypotension, guarding, intense pain in the stomach, and respiratory distress. Patients who have modest abdominal palpation might experience discomfort in the area around their stomachs. Frequently, patients want to lie supine to ease their discomfort because they are restless. When necrotizing pancreatitis develops, the exudates from a necrotic pancreas may migrate through the falciform ligament down into the retroperitoneum. This can cause bruising in the flank (Grey-Turner' sign) or the periumbilical area (Cullen's sign).¹⁴

Diagnosis

The 2012 Revised Atlanta Classification specifies that two out of the three following criteria must be met in order to diagnose acute pancreatitis: (1) Pancreatitis-related stomach discomfort; (2) Amylase and/or Lipase levels in the serum that are at least three times higher than normal; or (3) Imaging results (MRI, ultrasonography, or contrastenhanced CT) that are consistent with acute pancreatitis. The severity of acute pancreatitis is classified as mild, moderate or severe. Mild when there are no local or systemic complications present; moderate in case of local (e.g., peripancreatic fluid collections) or systemic complications (e.g., exacerbation of chronic disease) or transient organ failure (<48 hours) and severe in case of persistent organ failure (>48 hours).¹⁵

Complications of acute pancreatitis

Peripancreatic fluid collection

Pancreatitis frequently results in acute peripancreatic fluid collections (APFCs) or pancreatic fluid collections (PFCs), particularly when the condition is acute. Pseudocysts have been linked to 5–15% of pancreatitis episodes that have necessitated in-patient stays; the majority of these instances are linked to spontaneous remission. 16,17 Pseudocysts, necrotic collections, and even abscesses are

examples of walled-off, chronic collections that may emerge from acute collections if they fail to clear off on their own.¹⁸

Pseudocyst

Pseudocysts are collections that form over the course of three to four weeks and are enclosed by a non-epithelial network of granulation tissue. The majority of acute pseudocysts will go away on their own, but if they fail to, they might get worse and cause bleeding, rupture, infections, or other problems. ^{19, 20} Collections of pus or infected fluid that form in close proximity to or contact with the pancreas in the context of pancreatitis or trauma are known as pancreatic abscesses. This abscess is linked to a wider spectrum of problems and may seem walled off and thicker walled on CECT. ²¹ The primary cause of pancreatic pancreatitis, accounting for over 70% of cases, particularly in nations with higher alcohol use rates. ²²

Pancreatic necrosis

Acute pancreatitis may lead to a late consequence called pancreatic necrosis, which kills pancreatic tissue and impairs organ function. Necrosis that is infected and sterile are the two varieties. Sterile necrosis is brought on by severe inflammation and abnormal blood flow in the pancreas and happens in the absence of bacterial infection. On the other side, bacterial colonization of the necrotic tissue results in infected necrosis.²³

Abdominal Compartment Syndrome

A cavity's internal pressure might rise, like in the case of the abdomen (ACS), leading to organ damage or malfunction is known as compartment syndrome. Generally speaking, abdominal pressure is less than 10 mmHg; standards state that abdominal hypertension occurs when the pressure is more than 12 mmHg and abdominal compartment syndrome occurs when the pressure exceeds 20 mmHg. Consequently, there is evidence of organ malfunction or injury.²⁴ When intra-abdominal pressure increases to a point where it compromises the function of organs and tissues, abdominal compartment syndrome (ACS) develops. An intra-abdominal pressure of 5-7 mmHg is considered normal. Above 19 mmHg, the intraabdominal pressure is deemed abnormal and causes ACS. ^{25,26} Individuals often experience dyspnea or dyspnea, dysuria, stomach discomfort and distention, elevated blood pressure, deterioration in mental state, and oliguria. 27, 28

Intestinal Obstruction & Ileus

Blocking the regular flow of luminal materials through the gastrointestinal system is known as intestinal obstruction, and it can be brought on by either an intraluminal or extrinsic mechanism.²⁹ Intestinal blockage and paralytic ileus are uncommon obstructive consequences in acute pancreatitis. Furthermore, a duodenal blockage resulting from severe acute pancreatitis involving the duodenum may have a functional origin or a combination of structural and functional elements. The incidence ranges around from



1 to 4 percent. In summary, it is critical to recognize the uncommon but potentially fatal obstructive consequences of acute pancreatitis, which need for immediate medical attention.³⁰

Prediction of severity of acute pancreatitis

Patients at a moderate or elevated risk of complications can be identified by using the severity prediction of acute pancreatitis. The Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Eval-II (APACHE-II), Ranson score, auction modified Glasgow/Imrie score, SIRS criteria, Bedside Index for the Severity in Acute Pancreatitis, and Harmless Acute Pancreatitis Score are among the several scoring systems that integrate clinical and laboratory findings to evaluate the likelihood of a severe disease course. Single laboratory parameters, such as C reactive protein (CRP), can also be used. ³¹ Although the specific clinical presentation of the illness complicates bedside evaluation and invalidates the predictive validity of prognostic ratings, several writers sought to address the organ failure to better evaluate the severity of the disease. Several prognostic ratings linked to organ failure, including Marshall ³², MOF/Goris ³³, and SOFA ³⁴, were developed in this context. In addition to the aforementioned scoring systems, it has been discovered that various types of pancreatic enzymes, such as trypsinogen, and several systemic inflammatory markers, such as procalcitonin, TNF- α , red cell distribution width (RDW), interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, TNF-α, and C-reactive protein (CRP), are also good and promising predictors of the severity of AP, particularly in the early stages of the illness. 35-40

Glasgow score

Blamey et al. developed the Glasgow pancreatitis score in 1984 as a predictive measure to determine the severity of AP. Imrie's Glasgow score appears to be more accurate than Ranson's for both alcohol- and biliary-related acute pancreatitis, with a sensitivity range of 56%–85% when assessing severe acute pancreatitis.⁴¹ It is also known as the Imrie score, and it may be completed by patients within 24 hours of their admission. It comprises eight of the eleven elements that make up the Ranson's criterion. Regardless of the cause, the Glasgow score is a useful predictive measure for mortality.⁴²

This scoring system includes following components:

1) age > 55 years, 2) serum albumin < 32 g/l (3.2 g/dl), 3) arterial PO2 on room air < 8 kPa (60 mm Hg), 4) serum calcium < 2 mmols/l (8 mg/dl), 5) blood glucose > 10.0 mmols/l (180 mg/dl), 6) serum LDH > 600 units/l, 7) serum urea nitrogen > 16.1 mmols/l (45 mg/dl), 8) WBC count > 15×109 /l (15×103 /microliter). Each variable in this scoring system has 1 point. Cut-off for severe AP is \geq 2 points, and scores above 3 also indicate that the patient is likely to require admission to intensive care (ICU). ⁴³

Kiat et al. confirmed that the method is user-friendly and comparable to the Ranson score in predicting AP severity with an AUC of 0.78.⁴⁴ Buxbaum et al. found that the

Glasgow score has an AUC of 0.73 for predicting AP severity. $^{\rm 45}$

Thaddaeus Tan Jun Kiat's GS showed a sensitivity of 76.8%, specificity of 69.2%, PPV of 25.8%, and NPV of 95.5%, with a diagnostic odds ratio of 7.44 and overall accuracy of 70.1%. $^{\rm 46}$

Glasgow Score exceeded other pancreatitis-specific rating systems. It is less effective in predicting death, but it is still an effective indicator of the severity of the disease. Nevertheless, it suffers from the 48-hour calculation lag, much like Ranson's score performed. While a high score can identify patients at risk of death and severe pancreatitis, its sensitivity and specificity persist to be poor. ⁴⁷

BISAP (bedside index of severity in acute pancreatitis) score

The scoring system, while user-friendly, has only undergone validation for predicting mortality.⁴⁸ This score is one of the most accurate and applicable in everyday clinical practice because of the simplicity and the capability to predict severity, death, and organ failure.⁴⁹

BISAP scoring system includes following components:

BUN > 25 mg/dl, impaired mental status (Glasgow coma scale < 15), SIRS (it is defined as two or more of the following: 1) temperature of >38.0 °C or 24 breaths/min or PaCO2 < 32 mm Hg, 3) pulse > 90 beats/min, 4) WBC 12,000 cells/mm3 or > 10% immature bands), age > 60 years, pleural effusion detected on chest radiograph. It is a reliable scoring system to predict severity and organ failure within 24 hours of admission.⁵⁰

Cut-off value of BISAP score for prediction of severe AP is \geq 2. ⁵¹ Another meta-analysis showed that a BISAP score of 3 is reliable to identify the high-risk AP. ⁵² BISAP score showed mortality of < 2% when score: 0–2 and > 15% when score: 3–5. ⁵³ One study from China demonstrated that the best cut-off value for BISAP is 2 for predicting pancreatic necrosis and organ failure, and 3 for predicting mortality. ⁵⁴

Mention must be of Papachristou GI, et al. who conducted a prospective study in 2010 to compare BISAP and SIRS scoring systems with the available "traditional" systems i.e. Ranson's system, APACHE-II, CTSI in predict severe AP, necrosis, and mortality in AP patients. The study concluded that the BISAP score was a precise instrument to predict risk factors in AP patients with added advantage of ease of use and early predictability.⁵⁵

Zhang J, et al. conducted a study from 2010 to 2013 in China to compare BISAP scores and Atlanta classification in for the purpose of assessing the disease severity of acute pancreatitis. scoring may be of great utility for the purpose of classifying patients of acute pancreatitis based on risk and predicting the clinical course and prognosis. ⁵⁶

Gompertz M, et al. published a retrospective review in 2012 in 128 patients with acute pancreatitis. The BISAP, APACHE II and Balthazar scoring system was used to check the severity. A statistically significant correlation was found was



among BISAP scores and duration of hospital stay. The study concluded that BISAP system of scoring was an important tool for predicting SAP. Moreover, all the parameters were on the first day of hospital. The sensitivity and specificity were better in BISAP compared to APACHE II and Balthazar systems.⁵⁷

An earlier study by Ye JF, et al. (2017) conducted to see consistency of BISAP, Modified Early Warning Score (MEWS), serum Ca2+, and red cell distribution width (RDW) in predicting acute pancreatitis severity. Univariate analysis, was done which showed that the BISAP, MEWS and serum Ca2+ had good predictive capacity to detect the severity of AP (P-value<0.001), whereas RDW was not associated with good prediction The study concluded that BISAP and serum Ca2+ have high predictive value for the severity of AP. ⁵⁸

This score is also able to identify patients at increased risk of mortality prior to the onset of organ failure. Papachristou et al also demonstrated that main advantages of BISAP score were its high accuracy rate and usefulness for predicting the severity within 24 h of hospital admission. ⁵⁹ However, lack of some parameters including etiology, presence of recurrent attack of AP, and obesity are the disadvantages of this score; however, they also indicated that prospective clinical trials with large populations are required to describe the exact association between BISAP score and obesity. ⁶⁰

Management

Nonsurgical treatment

Bowel rest, hydration, and pain management are the three main treatments for pancreatitis. While most patients with mild pancreatitis need to be hospitalized, some may be managed as outpatients. For outpatients, oral opioids should be used to relieve pain while clear fluids should be used to maintain nutrition and hydration. ⁶¹ General guidelines recommend early fluid resuscitation, starting with 250 - 500 mL/hr. ⁶² with the goal of maintaining urine output at ~0.5 mL/kg/hr. if there is no acute kidney injury. ⁶³ Administering more oxygen also helps, particularly for people who are elderly. Another crucial component of treating early AP is analgesia; manage glycemia—a blood sugar level of greater than 180 mg/dL upon admission in a patient who is not diabetic is linked to a higher mortality rate. ⁶⁴

Fluid resuscitation

Fluid flows into the third space as a result of pancreatic inflammation and the ensuing systemic inflammatory response. In extreme situations, this might lead to hypoperfusion, hypovolemia, and eventually organ failure. A sufficient amount of fluid resuscitation is required to stop this cascade. A few RCTs considered the kind of fluids. Colloids are generally avoided in critically sick patients since there is little evidence that they are beneficial, and hydroxyethyl starch may even increase mortality.⁶⁵

Nutritional therapy:

Following a reduction in stomach discomfort, oral feeding may be resumed in patients with mild pancreatitis. Supportive nutrition is recommended 48 hours following the beginning of severe AP. There is little doubt that enteral nutritional assistance is preferable. If oral feeding is not tolerated, a polymeric or elemental formulation may be employed, and a nasogastric or Nasojejunal tube may be implanted. Improved gut blood flow, preservation of mucosal surface immunity, reduction of microbial translocation, preservation of physical gut barrier function, and maintenance of gut-associated lymphoid tissue bulk and function are all benefits of enteral feeding. 66,67. In 25% of cases, these variables lead to enhanced outcomes, less SIRS, fewer infectious complications, and inclusive pain relief. 68, 69 As a second-line treatment for acute pancreatitis, parenteral nourishment can be given. If nutritional supplementation is necessary and Nasojejunal tube feeding is not tolerated. Immunonutrients such as glutamine and omega-3 fatty acids given to parenteral formulations can help individuals with acute pancreatitis have better prognoses. Parenteral immunonutrition lowered the risk of infectious complications by a large margin.⁷⁰

Antibiotic therapy:

As a second-line treatment for acute pancreatitis, parenteral nourishment can be given. if nutritional supplementation is necessary and nasojejunal tube feeding is not tolerated. Immunonutrients such as glutamine and omega-3 fatty acids given to parenteral formulations can help individuals with acute pancreatitis have better prognoses. Parenteral immunonutrition lowered the risk of infectious complications by a large margin. ^{71, 72}

Surgical management:

When a patient experiences complications resulting from AP, such as abdominal compartment syndrome, persistent acute bleeding, intestinal ischemia, or acute necrotizing cholecystitis, surgery is recommended. Lower mortality is achieved by postponing surgical operations for a duration of four weeks or more from the commencement of the condition. 73, 74 Demarcation of necrosis happens with late surgery, minimizing damage to important tissues. Necrosectomy is therefore more successful and bleeding is reduced during late surgery. A new meta-analysis evaluated the timing of surgical interventions at three distinct cut-off periods (72 hours, 12 days, and 30 days) and contrasted late surgery to early surgery. The benefit of late surgery for survival was seen at all cut-off points.75 Drainage or neurectomy is not usually advised if emergency surgery is required sooner for other reasons.^{76,77}Although they need more treatments, less invasive surgical techniques like video-assisted retroperitoneal debridement (VARD) and trans gastric endoscopic neurectomy reduce the incidence of new-onset postoperative organ failure.⁷⁴ Pseudocyst spontaneous resolution occurs in a third of patients with a pseudocyst.⁷⁸ Symptomatic pseudocysts can be



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

successfully decompressed by endoscopic cyst gastrostomy with endoscopic ultrasound guidance.⁷⁹ After a staggered course of therapy, open neurectomy is still a viable therapeutic choice for severe pancreatic necrosis, according to the recently released World Society for Emergency Surgery for the therapy of Severe Pancreatitis.⁷⁴

CONCLUSION

Acute pancreatitis requires prompt diagnosis, severity stratification, and clinical judgment for proper management. The Revised Atlanta Classification, BISAP SCORE, and Glasgow score are commonly used for diagnosis and severity assessment. New approaches like fluid resuscitation, antibiotic use, nutritional support, and necrosis treatment have been introduced but not widely adopted.

Source of Support: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

Conflict of Interest: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. McClusky DA, Skandalakis LJ, Colborn GL, Skandalakis JE. Harbinger or hermit? Pancreatic anatomy and surgery through the ages—part 3. World journal of surgery. 2002 Dec;26:1512-24.

2. Sharma S, Hashmi MF, Chakraborty RK. StatPearls [Internet] StatPearls Publishing. Treasure Island (FL): Sep. 2021;18.

3. Van DIjk SM, Hallensleben ND, van Santvoort HC, Fockens P, van Goor H, Bruno MJ, Besselink MG. Acute pancreatitis: recent advances through randomised trials. Gut. 2017 Nov 1;66(11):2024-32.

4. Morales CO, Baena EG, Muñoz JO, de Andrés EP, Corbalán JL. Radiology of acute pancreatitis today: the Atlanta classification and the current role of imaging in its diagnosis and treatment. Radiología (English Edition). 2019 Nov 1;61(6):453-66.

5. Kahaleh M. Management of pancreatitis and pancreatic: fluid collections. Revista de Gastroenterología del Perú. 2018 Aug 10;38(2):169-82.

6. Werge M, Novovic S, Schmidt PN, Gluud LL. Infection increases mortality in necrotizing pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Pancreatology. 2016 Sep 1;16(5):698-707.

7. Bazerbachi F, Haffar S, Hussain MT, Vargas EJ, Watt KD, Murad MH, Chari S, Dayyeh BK. Systematic review of acute pancreatitis associated with interferon- α or pegylated interferon- α : Possible or definitive causation?. Pancreatology. 2018 Oct 1;18(7):691-9.

8. Sepúlveda EV, Guerrero-Lozano R. Acute pancreatitis and recurrent acute pancreatitis: an exploration of clinical and etiologic factors and outcomes. Jornal de pediatria. 2019 Nov 25;95(6):713-9.

9. Barbara M, Tsen A, Rosenkranz L. Acute Pancreatitis in ChronicDialysisPatients. Pancreas. 2018Sep;47(8):946-951. [PubMed] [Reference list]

10. Bradley EL. A clinically based classification system for acute pancreatitis: summary of the International Symposium on Acute

Pancreatitis, Atlanta, Ga, September 11 through 13, 1992. Archives of surgery. 1993 May 1;128(5):586-90.

11. Natesan S, Lee J, Volkamer H, Thoureen T. Evidence-based medicine approach to abdominal pain. Emergency Medicine Clinics. 2016 May 1;34(2):165-90.

12 . Kemppainen E, Puolakkainen P, Leppäniemi A, Hietaranta A, Grönroos J, Haapiainen R. Diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. InAnnales chirurgiae et gynaecologiae 1998 Jan 1 (Vol. 87, No. 3, pp. 191-194).

13. Dc W. Clinical practice. Acute pancreatitis. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(20):2142-50.

14. Frossard JL, Steer ML, Pastor CM. Antibiotics in acute necrotising pancreatitis–Authors' reply. The Lancet. 2008 Mar 29;371(9618):1072.

15. Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, Tsiotos GG, Vege SS. Classification of acute pancreatitis—2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut. 2013 Jan 1;62(1):102-11.

16. Poornachandra KS, Bhasin DK, Nagi B, Sinha SK, Rana SS, Shafiq N, Greer K, Gupta R, Kang M, Malhotra S, Singh K. Clinical, biochemical, and radiologic parameters at admission predicting formation of a pseudocyst in acute pancreatitis. Journal of clinical gastroenterology. 2011 Feb 1;45(2):159-63.

17. Johnson CD. Timing of intervention in acute pancreatitis. Postgraduate medical journal. 1993 Jul;69(813):509-15.

18. Miguel Eduardo Rodriguez Rodriguez1, Jhon Navarro Gonzalez2, Vivaswan Dutt Mishra3, Coralvia Yaroslangna Villanueva Perez4, Luis Fernando Ochoa Meza Late Complications of Acute Pancreatitis: A Surgical Approach.

19. Bradley III EL, Clements Jr JL, Gonzalez AC. The natural history of pancreatic pseudocysts: a unified concept of management. The American Journal of Surgery. **1979** Jan **1**;**137**(1):**135**-41.

20. Vitas GJ, Sarr MG. Selected management of pancreatic pseudocysts: operative versus expectant management. Surgery. 1992 Feb 1;111(2):123-30.

21. Baron TH, Morgan DE. The diagnosis and management of fluid collections associated with pancreatitis. The American journal of medicine. 1997 Jun 1;102(6):555-63.

22. Balthazar EJ, Freeny PC, vanSonnenberg E. Imaging and intervention in acute pancreatitis. Radiology. 1994 Nov;193(2):297-306.

23. Baron TH, DiMaio CJ, Wang AY, Morgan KA. American Gastroenterological Association clinical practice update: management of pancreatic necrosis. Gastroenterology. 2020 Jan 1;158(1):67-75.

24. Kirkpatrick, A.W., Roberts, D.J., De Waele, J., Jaeschke, R., Malbrain, M.L., De Keulenaer, B., Duchesne, J., Bjorck, M., Leppaniemi, A., Ejike, J.C. and Sugrue, M., 2013. Intra-abdominal hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome: updated consensus definitions and clinical practice guidelines from the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. *Intensive care medicine*, *39*, pp.1190-1206.

25. Cheatham ML, Malbrain ML, Kirkpatrick A, Sugrue M, Parr M, De Waele J, Balogh Z, Leppäniemi A, Olvera C, Ivatury R, D'Amours S. Results from the international conference of experts on intraabdominal hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome.



113

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net ©Copyright protected. Unauthorised republication, reproduction, distribution, dissemination and copying of this document in whole or in part is strictly prohibited. II. Recommendations. Intensive care medicine. 2007 Jun;33:951-62.

26. Kirkpatrick, A.W., Roberts, D.J., De Waele, J., Jaeschke, R., Malbrain, M.L., De Keulenaer, B., Duchesne, J., Bjorck, M., Leppaniemi, A., Ejike, J.C. and Sugrue, M., 2013. Intra-abdominal hypertension and the abdominal compartment syndrome: updated consensus definitions and clinical practice guidelines from the World Society of the Abdominal Compartment Syndrome. *Intensive care medicine*, *39*, pp.1190-1206.

27. Maluso P, Sarani B. Abdominal compartment hypertension and abdominal compartment syndrome. Principles of Adult Surgical Critical Care. 2016:233-40.

28. Sugrue M, Bauman A, Jones F, Bishop G, Flabouris A, Parr M, Stewart A, Hillman K, Deane SA. Clinical examination is an inaccurate predictor of intraabdominal pressure. World journal of surgery. 2002 Dec;26(12):1428-31.

29. Holland JF. Holland-Frei cancer medicine 8. PMPH-USA; 2010.

30. Sunkara T, Etienne D, Caughey ME, Gaduputi V. Small bowel obstruction secondary to acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology Research. 2017 Feb;10(1):42.

31. Mounzer R, Langmead CJ, Wu BU, Evans AC, Bishehsari F, Muddana V, Singh VK, Slivka A, Whitcomb DC, Yadav D, Banks PA. Comparison of existing clinical scoring systems to predict persistent organ failure in patients with acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2012 Jun 1;142(7):1476-82.

32. Marshall JC, Cook DJ, Christou NV, Bernard GR, Sprung CL, Sibbald WJ. Multiple organ dysfunction score: a reliable descriptor of a complex clinical outcome. Critical care medicine. 1995 Oct 1;23(10):1638-52.

33. Roumen RM, Schers TJ, De Boer HH, Goris RJ. Scoring systems for predicting outcome in acute hemorrhagic necrotizing pancreatitis. Eur J Surg. 1992 Mar 1;158(3):167-71.

34. Vincent J.L., de Mendonca A., Cantraine F., Moreno R., Takala J., Suter P.M., Sprung C.L., Colardyn F., Blecher S. Use of the SOFA score to assess the incidence of organ dysfunction/failure in intensive care units: results of a multicenter, prospective study. Working group on "sepsis-related problems" of the European Society of Intensive Care

35. Balcı Z, Kılıç MÖ, Şenol K, Erdoğan A, Tez M. Prognostic scores in acute pancreatitis: A review. Acta Gastro-Enterol Belg. 2016 Sep;79(3):337-47.

36. Rau B, Steinbach G, Gansauge F, Mayer JM, Grünert A, Beger HG. The potential role of procalcitonin and interleukin 8 in the prediction of infected necrosis in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 1997 Dec 1;41(6):832-40.

37. Rau B, Steinbach G, Gansauge F, Mayer JM, Grünert A, Beger HG. The potential role of procalcitonin and interleukin 8 in the prediction of infected necrosis in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 1997 Dec 1;41(6):832-40.

38. Neoptolemos JP, Kemppainen EA, Mayer JM, Fitzpatrick JM, Raraty MG, Slavin J, Beger HG, Hietaranta AJ, Puolakkainen PA. Early prediction of severity in acute pancreatitis by urinary trypsinogen activation peptide: a multicentre study. The Lancet. 2000 Jun 3;355(9219):1955-60.

39. Štimac D, Fišic E, Milic S, Bilic-Zulle L, Peric R. Prognostic values of IL-6, IL-8, and IL-10 in acute pancreatitis. Journal of clinical gastroenterology. 2006 Mar 1;40(3):209-12.

40. Şenol K, Saylam B, Kocaay F, Tez M. Red cell distribution width as a predictor of mortality in acute pancreatitis. The American journal of emergency medicine. 2013 Apr 1;31(4):687-9.

41. London NJ, Neoptolemos JP, Lavelle J, Bailey I, James D. Contrast-enhanced abdominal computed tomography scanning and prediction of severity of acute pancreatitis: a prospective study. Journal of British Surgery. 1989 Mar;76(3):268-72.

42. Wilson C, Heath DI, Imrie CW. Prediction of outcome in acute pancreatitis: a comparative study of APACHE II, clinical assessment and multiple factor scoring systems. British Journal of Surgery. 1990 Nov;77(11):1260-4.

43. Blamey SL, Imrie CW, O'Neill J, Gilmour WH, Carter DC. Prognostic factors in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 1984 Dec 1;25(12):1340-6.

44. Kiat TT, Gunasekaran SK, Junnarkar SP, Low JK, Woon W, Shelat VG. Are traditional scoring systems for severity stratification of acute pancreatitis sufficient?. Annals of hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery. 2018 May 1;22(2):105-15.

45. Buxbaum J, Quezada M, Chong B, Gupta N, Yu CY, Lane C, Da B, Leung K, Shulman I, Pandol S, Wu B. The Pancreatitis Activity Scoring System predicts clinical outcomes in acute pancreatitis: findings from a prospective cohort study. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology ACG. 2018 May 1;113(5):755-64.

46. Kiat TT, Gunasekaran SK, Junnarkar SP, Low JK, Woon W, Shelat VG. Are traditional scoring systems for severity stratification of acute pancreatitis sufficient?. Annals of hepato-biliary-pancreatic surgery. 2018 May 1;22(2):105-15.

47. Blamey SL, Imrie CW, O'Neill J, Gilmour WH, Carter DC. Prognostic factors in acute pancreatitis. Gut. 1984 Dec 1;25(12):1340-6.

48. Papachristou GI, Muddana V, Yadav D, O'connell M, Sanders MK, Slivka A, Whitcomb DC. Comparison of BISAP, Ranson's, APACHE-II, and CTSI scores in predicting organ failure, complications, and mortality in acute pancreatitis. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG. 2010 Feb 1;105(2):435-41.

49. Leppäniemi A, Tolonen M, Tarasconi A, Segovia-Lohse H, Gamberini E, Kirkpatrick AW, Ball CG, Parry N, Sartelli M, Wolbrink D, Van Goor H. 2019 WSES guidelines for the management of severe acute pancreatitis. World journal of emergency surgery. 2019 Dec;14:1-20.

50. Kaushik MR, Dubey AP, Jain R, Rathore A, Pathak A. Prospective evaluation of the BISAP score and its correlation with Marshall score in predicting severity of organ failure in acute pancreatitis. Int J Adv Med. 2017 Mar;4(2):534-9.

51. Cho JH, Kim TN, Chung HH, Kim KH. Comparison of scoring systems in predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis. World journal of gastroenterology: WJG. 2015 Feb 2;21(8):2387.

52. Gao W, Yang HX, Ma CE. The value of BISAP score for predicting mortality and severity in acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2015 Jun 19;10(6):e0130412.

53. Wu BU, Johannes RS, Sun X, Tabak Y, Conwell DL, Banks PA. The early prediction of mortality in acute pancreatitis: a large population-based study. Gut. 2008 Dec 1;57(12):1698-703.

54. Chen L, Lu G, Zhou Q, Zhan Q. Evaluation of the BISAP score in predicting severity and prognoses of acute pancreatitis in Chinese patients. International surgery. 2013 Feb 1;98(1):6-12.



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

55. Papachristou GI, Muddana V, Yadav D, O'connell M, Sanders MK, Slivka A, Whitcomb DC. Comparison of BISAP, Ranson's, APACHE-II, and CTSI scores in predicting organ failure, complications, and mortality in acute pancreatitis. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG. 2010 Feb 1;105(2):435-41.

56 . Zhang J, Shahbaz M, Fang R, Liang B, Gao C, Gao H, Ijaz M, Peng C, Wang B, Niu Z, Niu J. Comparison of the BISAP scores for predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis in C hinese patients according to the latest A tlanta classification. Journal of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sciences. 2014 Sep;21(9):689-94.

57. Gompertz M, Fernandez L, Lara I, Miranda JP, Mancilla C, Berger Z. Bedside index for severity in acute pancreatitis (BISAP) score as predictor of clinical outcome in acute pancreatitis: retrospective review of 128 patients. Revista medica de Chile. 2012 Aug 1;140(8):977-83.

58. Ye JF, Zhao YX, Ju J, Wang W. Building and verifying a severity prediction model of acute pancreatitis (AP) based on BISAP, MEWS and routine test indexes. Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterology. 2017 Oct 1;41(5):585-91.

59. Papachristou GI, Muddana V, Yadav D, O'connell M, Sanders MK, Slivka A, Whitcomb DC. Comparison of BISAP, Ranson's, APACHE-II, and CTSI scores in predicting organ failure, complications, and mortality in acute pancreatitis. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology | ACG. 2010 Feb 1;105(2):435-41.

60. Guzmán Calderon E, Montes Teves P, Monge Salgado E. BISAP-O: obesidad incluida en el score BISAP para mejorar la predicción de severidad en pancreatitis aguda. Revista de Gastroenterología del Perú. 2012 Jul;32(3):251-6.

61. Quinlan JD, Jorgensen SK. Jeffrey D. Quinlan, MD, FAAFP.

62. Wu BU, Banks PA. Clinical management of patients with acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2013 May 1;144(6):1272-81.

63. Forsmark CE, Baillie J. AGA Institute technical review on acute pancreatitis. Revista de gastroenterologia de Mexico. 2007;72(3):257-81.

64. Wu BU, Banks PA. Clinical management of patients with acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2013 May 1;144(6):1272-81.

65. Perel P, Roberts I. Colloids versus crystalloids for fluid resuscitation in critically ill patients. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2012(6).

66. Zhao XL, Zhu SF, Xue GJ, Li J, Liu YL, Wan MH, Huang W, Xia Q, Tang WF. Early oral refeeding based on hunger in moderate and severe acute pancreatitis: a prospective controlled, randomized clinical trial. Nutrition. 2015 Jan 1;31(1):171-5.

67. Wu XM, Liao YW, Wang HY, Ji KQ, Li GF, Zang B. When to initialize enteral nutrition in patients with severe acute

pancreatitis?: a retrospective review in a single institution experience (2003–2013). Pancreas. 2015 Apr 1;44(3):507-11.

68. Guidelines AA. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2013 Jul 1;13(4):e1-5.

69. Mofidi R, Duff MD, Wigmore SJ, Madhavan KK, Garden OJ, Parks R. Association between early systemic inflammatory response, severity of multiorgan dysfunction and death in acute pancreatitis. Journal of British Surgery. 2006 Jun;93(6):738-44.

70. Andrade AM, Collazos SS, Andrade LM, Ortiz G, Andrade AM, Martinez A, Ruiz B, Vidrio E, Hernandez C, López C, Montes O. Emergency Medicine: Open Access.

71. Werner J, Hartwig W, Büchler MW. Management of acute pancreatitis and complications. InSurgery of the Liver, Biliary Tract and Pancreas 2007 Jan 1 (pp. 700-712). WB Saunders.

72. UK W. UK guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Gut. 2005 May;54(Suppl 3):iii1.

73. Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, Tsiotos GG, Vege SS. Classification of acute pancreatitis—2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut. 2013 Jan 1;62(1):102-11.

74. Guerrero ME. FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MÉDICAS ESPECIALIZACIÓN EN GASTROENTEROLOGÍA Y ENDOSCOPIA (Doctoral dissertation, PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DEL ECUADOR).

75. Van Santvoort HC, Bakker OJ, Bollen TL, Besselink MG, Ali UA, Schrijver AM, Boermeester MA, van Goor H, Dejong CH, van Eijck CH, van Ramshorst B. A conservative and minimally invasive approach to necrotizing pancreatitis improves outcome. Gastroenterology. 2011 Oct 1;141(4):1254-63.

76. Guidelines AA. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2013 Jul 1;13(4):e1-5.

77. Diaz Jr JJ, Cullinane DC, Khwaja KA, Tyson GH, Ott M, Jerome R, Kerwin AJ, Collier BR, Pappas PA, Sangosanya AT, Como JJ. Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma: Management of the open abdomen, Part III—Review of abdominal wall reconstruction. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2013 Sep 1;75(3):376-86.

78. Lankisch PG, Weber-Dany B, Maisonneuve P, Lowenfels AB. Pancreatic pseudocysts: prognostic factors for their development and their spontaneous resolution in the setting of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2012 Mar 1;12(2):85-90.

79. Varadarajulu S, Christein JD, Tamhane A, Drelichman ER, Wilcox CM. Prospective randomized trial comparing EUS and EGD for transmural drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts (with videos). Gastrointestinal endoscopy. 2008 Dec 1;68(6):1102-11.

For any questions related to this article, please reach us at: globalresearchonline@rediffmail.com New manuscripts for publication can be submitted at: submit@globalresearchonline.net and submit_ijpsrr@rediffmail.com



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net