Review Article

From Tradition to Technology: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Standardizing Ayurvedic Formulations

Sumit Satpute*, Jyoti Sharma, Apurva Sangel, Saniya Shaha, Vinayak Bodhankar
Department of Quality Assurance, Srinath College of Pharmacy, Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, Maharashtra, India.
***Corresponding author's E-mail:** sumitsatpute444@gmail.com

Received: 09-04-2026; **Revised:** 24-06-2026; **Accepted:** 29-06-2026; **Published online:** 20-07-2026.

ABSTRACT

Ayurveda, India's ancient holistic health system, is increasingly becoming a vital pillar of global wellness. By offering natural, personalized care, it perfectly complements modern medicine. As global interest in traditional therapies surges, Ayurveda stands at a pivotal crossroads, ready to expand its reach through integrative wellness strategies. However, this growth brings significant hurdles. The primary challenge lies in modernization. Traditional Ayurvedic preparations are complex, often involving intricate combinations of plant, mineral, and animal-based ingredients. Unlike standardized pharmaceuticals, ensuring consistency across batches is difficult because of natural variations in raw materials, seasonal impacts, and diverse processing methods. Furthermore, the industry has been slow to adopt contemporary dosage forms and advanced quality control technologies. To bridge this gap, we must move beyond viewing tradition and innovation as conflicting forces. We need a delicate balance that honors ancient wisdom while embracing modern analytical science. Currently, a lack of standardized, widely recognized parameters for Ayurvedic products hinders their global credibility. The path forward requires a multidisciplinary strategy. By integrating traditional principles with modern tools—such as chromatographic and spectroscopic analysis—we can establish the rigorous quality control necessary to ensure safety and efficacy. When we combine the depth of Ayurvedic knowledge with the precision of contemporary technology, we don't just modernize a product; we validate a holistic philosophy. This fusion is the key to strengthening Ayurveda's role in the global healthcare system, ensuring it is not just practiced, but trusted and integrated for generations to come.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Standardization, Herbal formulation, Traditional, Challenges, Modern formulation.

INTRODUCTION

Over a period of time, Ayurveda, the traditional Indian medical system, has developed into a holistic approach to treatment with its roots in natural medicines. It places a strong emphasis on the balance of body, mind, and spirit and makes extensive use of formulations derived from plants. As part of the larger trend toward natural and complementary healthcare systems, Ayurvedic medicine has seen a notable comeback in popularity in recent decades, both in India and worldwide[1]. However, worries about the effectiveness, safety, and quality of Ayurvedic formulations have been associated with the increased adoption of Ayurveda in global markets. Several complicated mixtures made from minerals, herbs, and animal products are essential to the ancient Ayurvedic method[2]. While the therapeutic efficacy of these formulations is documented in classical texts, their standardization according to modern scientific parameters remains a major challenge[3]. Variations in raw material sources, differences in manufacturing methods, and inadequate analytical characterization lead to inconsistent therapeutic outcomes[4]. As a result, the credibility of Ayurveda in international markets is often questioned.

Whereas the Ministry of AYUSH in India has made action to regulate Ayurvedic medications, there are still issues with implementation, particularly with proprietary and poly-herbal formulations[5]. Ayurvedic products frequently lack thorough physicochemical and biological standardization, in contrast to allopathic medications that go through severe pharmacopeial testing. The procedure is made more difficult by the lack of standardized rules and verified methodologies[6].

Standardization aims to guarantee repeatable quality, stability, and safety of Ayurvedic medicines rather than just adhering to rules. It increases customer confidence and offers a scientific foundation for verifying traditional knowledge[5]. This report explores the key challenges in standardizing Ayurvedic formulations, including raw material variability, manufacturing inconsistencies, analytical limitations, and regulatory hurdles. It also discusses potential strategies to overcome these challenges and strengthen the Ayurvedic industry for global competitiveness.

1. Concept of Standardization in Ayurveda

Standardization in Ayurveda refers to the establishment of precise and measurable standards to guarantee uniformity in the effectiveness, safety, and quality of medicinal products[7]. In earlier times, Ayurvedic practitioners evaluated a formulation's quality using both experiential knowledge and sensory evaluation, including colour, odour, taste, and texture. However, measurable, repeatable data is required by modern pharmaceutical standards.

Vaidya states that raw material identification, process validation, formulation stability, and pharmacological evaluation must all be included in an entire standardization plan for Ayurvedic medicines[3]. This guarantees that a product's therapeutic equivalency and consistency are

maintained in each batch. Setting guidelines regarding moisture content, ash values, heavy metals, microbiological load, and active ingredient levels is another aspect of standardization[7].

The difference between standardization, validation, and authentication must be clearly understood.

a) **Authentication** ensures that the correct raw material (botanical species) is used.

b) **Standardization** involves establishing consistent manufacturing and quality parameters.

c) **Validation** verifies that the standardized process leads to reproducible therapeutic effects[4].

Though they lack quantifiable connections, traditional metrics like Rasa (taste), Guna (property), Veerya (potency), Vipaka (post-digestive impact), and Prabhava (particular action) provide qualitative perspectives. Through biological tests, marker-based quantification, and chromatographic fingerprinting, modern research aims to close this gap[4]. However, aligning traditional holistic methods with modern analytical models remains a difficult philosophical and technological challenge.

As a result, Ayurvedic standardization is a complex procedure that blends traditional knowledge with modern analytical research. It requires multidisciplinary cooperation between chemists, pharmacologists, botanists, and Ayurvedic specialists to develop a framework that is both culturally appropriate and based on research.

2. Scope and Need for Standardization

Growing consumer interest in natural and holistic healthcare has led to exponential expansion in the worldwide Ayurvedic industry. But this growth has also shown how urgently quality control and regulatory harmonization are needed. Variability in product performance, safety issues, and challenges in global exports result from a lack of standardization[6].

Quality consistency is the main reason for the necessity of standardization. Because of the action of many phytochemicals working in concert, herbal medications are fundamentally complicated. Their phytochemical profile can be changed by even little changes in soil type, climate, and harvesting time. different batches of the same formulation may provide diverse treatment effects in the absence of established processes, which might undermine patient confidence and scientific acceptability[5].

Another dimension is regulatory compliance. Many countries now require herbal products to meet Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and pharmacopeial standards before approval. Ayurvedic products often fall short because most formulations are based on traditional recipes not originally developed under modern testing frameworks[3]. This creates a gap between ancient documentation and contemporary scientific validation.

Finally, from a public health perspective, standardization safeguards against adulteration, contamination, and toxicity. Several reports of heavy metal and microbial contamination in Ayurvedic medicines have raised safety concerns internationally. Establishing rigorous quality standards is therefore critical not only for market expansion but also for consumer protection.

Standardization serves as a bridge between traditional knowledge and modern science. It ensures that ancient formulations meet contemporary expectations for efficacy and safety. As highlighted by Katiyar and Dubey[1], the long-term sustainability of the Ayurvedic industry depends on its ability to align with scientific validation while preserving the essence of traditional wisdom.[8]

3. Raw Material Challenges

The quality of Ayurvedic formulations primarily depends on the quality of their raw materials. Medicinal plants form the backbone of most Ayurvedic preparations, and therefore, their accurate identification, collection, and processing are critical for standardization. However, variability in raw materials is one of the greatest challenges faced by the industry.[9]

3.1 Botanical Identification and Authentication

Accurate botanical identification is often difficult because several species share similar vernacular names, leading to substitution and adulteration[7]. For example, Asoka may refer to both *Saraca asoca* and *Polyalthia longifolia*, which have distinct phytochemical profiles. Misidentification directly affects therapeutic potency and safety. To minimize this, standard pharmacognostic parameters, DNA barcoding, and chemo profiling have been suggested as reliable methods for raw material authentication.[10]

3.2 Environmental and Seasonal Variability

The phytochemical content of medicinal plants varies with soil composition, rainfall, temperature, and harvesting season[1]. Plants collected from different geographical zones can exhibit significant variations in their active constituents, thereby altering pharmacological properties. Guleria emphasized that lack of control over these natural factors makes it difficult to achieve consistent raw material quality.[4]

3.3 Adulteration and Substitution

In the Ayurvedic medicinal trade, purposeful or accidental adulteration is still a problem. Insufficient supply chain monitoring, growing market demand, and a lack of genuine herbs cause inferior species to be substituted.[6,11] This reduces effectiveness and occasionally adds harmful substances. To solve this issue, Good Agricultural and Collection Practices (GACP) must be implemented, and vendors must be properly qualified.[12]

3.4 Handling and Storage after Harvest

Raw material quality is further degraded by improper drying, storage, and transportation. Volatile and thermolabile substances can be destroyed by exposure to

sunlight or moisture, and degradation can result from microbial contamination during storage. Therefore, microbiological restrictions, storage conditions, and moisture control factors must be standardized at the raw material stage.[13]

3.5 Traceability and Documentation

The importance of complete documentation, from plant sourcing to batch production, is a frequently disregarded component of standardization. In addition to ensuring responsibility, traceability enables regulators to confirm the genuineness of the ingredients used. The creation of barcoding technologies and centralized databases for herbal raw materials can significantly improve traceability.

In summary, achieving uniformity in raw material quality requires a comprehensive approach integrating traditional knowledge with modern tools like genomics, metabolomics, and chemotaxonomy.[14]

4. Processing and Manufacturing Challenges

Ayurvedic formulations undergo multiple complex processes such as Samskara (processing), Mardana (trituration), Bhavana (levigation), and Puta (calcination). These processes determine the bioavailability, potency, and stability of the final product. However, the absence of standardized manufacturing procedures remains a major barrier to quality consistency.

4.1 Lack of Standardization in the Process

The majority of Ayurvedic products do not follow standard manufacturing procedures, compared to modern pharmaceuticals. The conventional methods differ based on the practitioner, local traditions, and equipment accessibility. Changes in chemical composition and therapeutic effects can result from very small variations in heating temperature, duration, or vessel type[1].

4.2 Equipment and Technological Restrictions

Modern equipment has increased scalability and hygiene, but it has also caused debate regarding authenticity. When mechanized, many procedures that were originally developed for small-scale manual operations don't always produce the same outcomes[3]. For example, excessive heat produced by mechanical grinding may cause components that are sensitive to heat to become damaged. As a result, comparison studies are crucial for validating automated procedures[15].

4.3 Batch-to-Batch Variation

Batch inconsistency arises due to lack of uniform raw material quality, uncontrolled process parameters, and inadequate process documentation. Each batch may differ in colour, odour, viscosity, or potency, making it difficult to maintain therapeutic reliability. This highlights the need for in-process controls such as temperature monitoring, pH adjustment, and time standardization.

4.4 Quality Control During Production

Instead of conducting extensive quality inspections during the production process, manufacturers frequently only do so at the final product stage. Early deviation detection is facilitated by in-process quality control measures including sampling at key points. This method ensures batch uniformity and minimizes rejection rates when matched with modern analytical monitoring tools[3].

4.5 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP)

Although GMP guidelines for Ayurveda are available through the Ministry of AYUSH, compliance remains inconsistent, particularly among small and medium enterprises. Regular audits, staff training, and documentation culture must be strengthened to achieve global quality standards.

5. Formulation and Stability Issues

Ayurvedic formulations are typically polyherbal, meaning they combine multiple plant ingredients that may interact synergistically or antagonistically. This complexity makes it difficult to predict stability and ensure reproducibility.[2,16]

5.1 Polyherbal Complexity

Formulations like Chyawanprash and Dashmoolarishta contain dozens of herbal ingredients. The challenge lies in maintaining a consistent ratio of phytochemicals despite natural variability in raw materials. Any deviation can affect therapeutic efficacy or introduce toxicity[6].

5.2 Stability Concerns

Stability testing is crucial to determine a formulation's shelf life. However, traditional Ayurvedic texts do not specify modern stability parameters such as pH, viscosity, or microbial load. Factors such as humidity, temperature, and packaging can influence chemical degradation. Standardized stability protocols, similar to those used in the pharmaceutical industry, are required for Ayurvedic preparations.[3]

5.3 Contamination and Preservation

Microbial contamination and heavy metal toxicity have been frequently reported in Ayurvedic products[5]. Traditional preservation methods using sugar, ghee, or honey may not be sufficient for modern commercial distribution. Incorporating safe preservatives and ensuring packaging integrity are therefore critical for product safety.[15]

5.4 Need for Modern Analytical Tools

Modern analytical techniques—such as high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC), gas chromatography (GC), and infrared spectroscopy—help in identifying marker compounds and degradation products. Integration of these tools with traditional quality assessment can create more reliable stability profiles.[17]

6. Analytical and Quality Control Parameters

The backbone of standardization lies in analytical evaluation and quality control. Despite progress in chromatographic and spectroscopic techniques, there remains a significant gap between analytical capability and its actual application in Ayurvedic industries[1].

6.1 Physicochemical Evaluation

Basic quality parameters such as moisture content, total ash, acid-insoluble ash, and extractive values are included in pharmacopeial standards. However, these tests provide only limited information about chemical composition. Advanced techniques are needed to understand the complex phytochemical matrix of polyherbal formulations.

6.2 Chromatographic and Spectroscopic Analysis

The use of methods such as HPLC, HPTLC, and LC-MS for fingerprint profiling and marker compound quantitative quantification is growing. For example, the presence of withanolides in ashwagandha or curcuminoids in haridra (turmeric) might be used as indicators of quality. To ensure batch uniformity, Mukherjee[4] underlined that such techniques need to be created for any significant Ayurvedic medication.

6.3 Biological and Pharmacological Testing

In vitro and in vivo models are vital for confirming pharmacological activity. However, most Ayurvedic formulations are not subjected to rigorous pharmacological validation. Standardized bioassays can help link chemical composition to therapeutic effect, creating scientific evidence for efficacy.

6.4 Microbial and Heavy Metal Testing

Microbial contamination, pesticide residues, and heavy metal toxicity (lead, arsenic, mercury) remain global concerns for Ayurvedic products. Standardization must therefore include testing for these contaminants according to international pharmacopeial limits. Ensuring these parameters enhances safety and international acceptability.

6.5 Reference Standards and Marker Compounds

Accurate quantification is complicated by the lack of reference standards for many Ayurvedic ingredients. Consistent analytical testing across various industries can be aided by establishing a centralized database and reference standard repository through pharmacopoeia bodies or AYUSH.

7. Regulatory and Policy Framework

The regulatory environment for Ayurvedic medicines in India is evolving but remains fragmented. The Drugs and Cosmetics Act (1940) and Rules (1945) classify Ayurvedic medicines as classical or proprietary, each with different requirements. However, these frameworks are still inadequate for ensuring scientific standardization.

7.1 Rules at the National Level

For a number of traditional formulations, the Ministry of AYUSH has released pharmacopeial standards and GMP guidelines. However, regulatory procedures are unknown for proprietary formulations, which are not covered in classical texts. Uniform standards that apply to all Ayurvedic product categories are urgently required.

7.2 Rules at the International Level

Ayurvedic items are frequently categorized as natural health products or nutritional supplements in other markets when they are exported, introducing them to different safety and labelling requirements. Global trade is made more difficult by the difference in international regulations. International credibility can be enhanced by pharmacopeial coordination and following to World Health Organization (WHO) norms.

7.3 Policy Difficulties

There are still policy gaps in areas like intellectual property protection, pharmacovigilance, and post-marketing surveillance. Regulatory efficiency is further restricted by a lack of enforcement and coordination between both national and state authorities. Furthermore, grants from the government are required since small businesses find it difficult to cover the costs of compliance.[18]

7.4 Directions for Future Policy

A clear, based on evidence regulatory structure is required to guarantee quality without sacrificing traditional authenticity. Research-industry cooperation, new standardization methods, and R&D infrastructure investment should all be promoted by policy.[19]

8. Infrastructure and Human Resource Limitations

In along with technological and legal limitations, the Ayurvedic pharmaceutical industry's development is also hampered by a lack of trained personnel and research facilities. While skilled formulators were developed through the traditional apprenticeship system (Guru-Shishya Parampara), modern industrial settings demand specialists who are knowledgeable in both modern analytical techniques and Ayurvedic principles[1].

8.1 Lack of Skilled Workers

The majority of small and medium-sized Ayurvedic businesses lack skilled analysts who can use advanced scientific instruments like molecular assays, spectroscopy, and chromatography[6]. As a result, manufacturers use simple physicochemical tests that fall shortin detecting the phytochemical complexity of formulations. Ayurvedic pharmacy courses at universities and colleges frequently prioritize theory over hands-on analytical training.[20]

8.2 Imbalance in Research and Development (R&D)

Ayurvedic R&D investment remains disproportionately low when compared to traditional pharmaceuticals. This inhibits the creation of novel dosage forms, process validation, and analytical method innovation. This limitation could be

addressed by enhancing public-private partnerships, establishing AYUSH-specific research clusters, and providing incentives for industry-academia cooperation.[20]

8.3 Training and Capacity Building

It is essential to pursue ongoing professional development. Production and quality personnel should be required to complete training courses in analytical chemistry, quality management, and GMP. A culture of high quality can be maintained through workshops and short courses that are collaboratively organized by regulatory agencies and pharmacognosy departments.[7,11]

9. Opportunities and Future Directions

While challenges in standardization persist, they also present opportunities for modernization and innovation.

9.1 Incorporating Modern Technologies

Artificial intelligence (AI), proteomics, and metabolomics are examples of emerging technologies that have huge potential for quality assessment[1]. In chromatographic data, AI-based pattern recognition can assist in identifying adulteration and guaranteeing consistency. The systems-based concept of Ayurveda is well aligned with the holistic analysis of complex mixtures made possible by metabolomic fingerprinting.[17]

9.2 Traceability and Digitalization

By documenting each transaction from farm to pharmacy, blockchain-enabled supply-chain solutions have the potential to completely transform the traceability of raw materials[7]. Barcoding and geotagging when combined with digital databases of verified plant specimens can further ensure material authenticity.[3,12]

9.3. International Cooperation

Harmonizing analytical techniques and boosting trust in Ayurvedic remedies can be achieved through cooperative research between Indian and foreign institutions. Mutual recognition of standards would be enhanced by collaborative pharmacopeial projects within WHO or ISO frameworks.[9]

9.4. Ethical and Sustainable Sourcing

Environmental sustainability must be included in future standardization initiatives. Since overharvesting of medicinal plants harms biodiversity, community farming projects and GACP cultivation ought to be promoted[4]. Global ethical acceptance and quality are both improved by sustainable sourcing.[14]

CONCLUSION

The standardization of Ayurvedic formulations stands at the intersection of tradition and modern science. While Ayurveda's holistic philosophy emphasizes the synergistic nature of plant constituents, modern pharmaceutical science demands measurable reproducibility. Bridging this gap requires an integrated, multidisciplinary approach involving botanists, chemists, pharmacologists, and Ayurvedic scholars.

From raw material authentication to post-marketing surveillance, every stage must be scientifically validated and transparently documented. The challenges—ranging from adulteration and process inconsistency to regulatory ambiguity—are substantial, yet surmountable through systematic standardization initiatives.

As highlighted by Katiyar and Dubey, the long-term sustainability and global credibility of Ayurveda depend on building a robust, scientifically sound quality-assurance framework that respects traditional wisdom while meeting international expectations.

By investing in research, technology, and human capital, India can transform its Ayurvedic industry into a globally recognized model for safe, effective, and standardized traditional medicine.

Source of Support: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

Conflict of Interest: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Katiyar CK, Dubey SK. Opportunities and challenges for Ayurvedic industry. *Int J Ayurveda Res*. 2023;4(3):123. doi:10.4103/ijar.ijar_114_23
2. History, Present and Prospect of Ayurveda. doi:10.1142/9789811282171_0001
3. Vaidya VN, Tatiya AU, Elango A, Kukkupuni SK, Vishnuprasad CN. Need for comprehensive standardization strategies for marketed *Ayurveda* formulations. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2018;9(4):312-315. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2018.09.002
4. Mukherjee PK, Harwansh RK, Bahadur S, et al. Development of Ayurveda – Tradition to trend. *J Ethnopharmacol*. 2017;197:10-24. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2016.09.024
5. Pant J, Ripudhaman. Review on Standardization of Ayurvedic Medicine. *J Pharm Res Int*. 2021;33(57A):194-199. doi:10.9734/jpri/2021/v33i57A33986
6. Challenges in Ayurvedic drug dispensing - A Review | Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences. Accessed November 14, 2025. https://jaims.in/index.php/jaims/article/view/3715
7. Chandra S, Anantha Narayana DB. Evaluation of drug standards, quality control, and trade in medicinal plants. *J Res Ayurvedic Sci*. 2023;7(1):13. doi:10.4103/jras.jras_151_22
8. Sharma P, Dhakad PK, Gilhotra R. A Commentary on the Current Scenario and Future Perspectives of Herbal Drugs: Emphasizing Commercial Potential and Challenges. Published online 2024.
9. Shabrina AM, Azzahra RSS, Permata IN, et al. Potential of Natural-Based Sun Protection Factor (SPF): A Systematic Review of Curcumin as Sunscreen. *Cosmetics*. 2025;12(1):10. doi:10.3390/cosmetics12010010

10. Sarada K, Puthiyedath R, Philip A, Ravindran GC, Pavithran K. Prevalence of the use of traditional complementary and alternative medicine amongst cancer patients in a tertiary care center in Kerala, India. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2021;12(2):359-364. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2021.04.011

11. Chaudhary A, Singh N. Contribution of world health organization in the global acceptance of Ayurveda. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2011;2(4):179-186. doi:10.4103/0975-9476.90769

12. Behr M, Garlant L, Pietretti D, et al. A robust set of qPCR methods to evaluate adulteration in major spices and herbs. *Food Control*. 2024;165:110623. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2024.110623

13. Baghel MS. DEVELOPING GUIDELINES FOR CLINICAL RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN AYURVEDA.

14. Ahire HJ, Chavhan G, Shirsath M. New Technology for Ayurveda Formulation. *Int J Pharm Sci NanotechnologyIJPSN*. 2024;17(6):7721-7725. doi:10.37285/ijpsn.2024.17.6.9

15. Standardization and Quality Control of Ayurvedic Polyherbal Formulations | International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Herbal Drug Technology. Accessed November 14, 2025. https://aktpublication.com/index.php/ijphdt/article/view/102

16. Frontiers | The growing use of herbal medicines: issues relating to adverse reactions and challenges in monitoring safety. Accessed May 17, 2026. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/pharmacology/articles/10.3389/fphar.2013.00177/full

17. Sharma A, Katiyar CK, Banerjee S, et al. RP-HPLC and HPTLC Methods for Analysis of Selected Herbs Used as Complexion Promoters in Ayurveda and Unani Systems of Medicine. *J AOAC Int*. 2020;103(3):692-698. doi:10.5740/jaoacint.19-0290

18. Kudlu C. From desired futures to market realities: examining policy imaginaries and strategies in the globalization of Ayurveda. *Front Hum Dyn*. 2025;7. doi:10.3389/fhumd.2025.1549341

19. Shankar D. Directions for revitalization of Ayurveda in the 21st century. *J Ayurveda Integr Med*. 2018;9(4):245-247. doi:10.1016/j.jaim.2018.12.002

20. Verma SK, Pandey M, Sharma A, Singh D. Exploring Ayurveda: principles and their application in modern medicine. *Bull Natl Res Cent*. 2024;48(1):77. doi:10.1186/s42269-024-01231-0