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ABSTRACT

The method for the simultaneous estimation of atenolol and indapamide in from tablet dosage form has been developed, based on simultaneous equation method at two selected wavelength 225nm and 240nm respectively, and also absorbance ratio method at two selected wavelengths 227.0nm (iso-absorptive point) and 225.0nm (λmax of atenolol). The linearity was obtained in the concentration range of 5-20µg/ml and 5-20µg/ml for atenolol and indapamide, respectively. These methods are simple, accurate and results of analysis have been validated statistically and by recovery studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Indapamide (IND), Benzamide, 3-(aminosulphonyl)-4-chloro-N-(2,3-dihydro-2-methyl-1H-indol-1-yl) is β-blocking agent, that lowers blood pressure and used for control and management of edema and widely used in treatment of hypertension. Few spectroscopic methods have been reported for determination of IND as single drug or in combination with other drugs. Indapamide can be determined spectrophotometrically and also by chromatographic methods.

Atenolol (ATL), chemically (R, S)-4-(2-hydroxy-3-isopropylaminopropoxy) phenyl acetamide, is a beta-adrenoceptor antagonist. It is official in the Indian Pharmacopoeia. Literature survey reveals, HPLC and HPTLC methods have also been reported for estimation of ATL in Pharmaceutical dosage forms and also there are various methods such as UV spectrophotometry for Atenolol.

Extensive literature survey reveals, none of the method is available that is based on estimation of Atenolol and Indapamide simultaneously by absorption ratio UV-spectrophotometric method.

Aim of present work was to develop simple, precise, accurate and economical spectrophotometric methods for simultaneous determination of binary drug formulation. The proposed method was optimized and validated in accordance with International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines. 

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instrumentation

A double-beam Jasco UV-2075; UV Visible spectrophotometer, spectral bandwidth of 2nm, wavelength accuracy ±0.5nm and a pair of 1-cm matched quartz cells was used to measure absorbance of the resulting solution.

Materials

Standard samples of atenolol and indapamide were taken. Combined dose atenolol and indapamide tablets (ATEKIND -D, 50mg atenolol and 2.5mg indapamide; manufactured by Mankind Pharmaceutical Pvt. Ltd.) were taken.

Solvent

Methanol selected as solvent for developing spectral characteristics of the drug. The selection was made after assessing the solubility of both the drugs in different solvents.

Preparation of standard stock solutions

Atenolol and indapamide (10mg each) were accurately weighed and dissolved separately in100ml of methanol to give stock (100µg/ml). From the standard stock solution, 1ml each of ATN and IND was taken in 10ml volumetric flask. Volume was made up to mark with methanol. Aliquot portion was appropriately diluted with methanol to get final concentration of 5-20µg/ml (IND) and 5-20 µg/ml (ATN) prepared respectively to give final concentrations and scanned between 200-400nm.

Application of the proposed method for the determination of ATN and IND in tablet dosage form

1) Simultaneous equation method

Twenty tablets were weighed and average weight was calculated. The tablets were crushed into fine powder.
Tablet powder equivalent to 10mg of ATN was transferred to 100ml volumetric flask and ultra sonicated for 10min. The volume was made up to the mark with methanol. The resulting solution was then filtered through a whatmann filter paper (No. 41). Aliquot portion was appropriately diluted with methanol to get final concentration of 20µg/ml. The concentration of both ATN and IND were determined by measuring absorbance of sample at 225.0nm, 240.0nm in spectrum mode and values were substituted in respective formulae to obtain the concentration.

\[
C_X = \frac{A_2a_2y_1 - A_1a_1y_2}{a_2x_2y_1 - a_1x_1y_2}
\]

\[
C_Y = \frac{A_1a_1x_2 - A_2a_2x_1}{a_2x_2y_1 - a_1x_1y_2}
\]

Where,
- \( C_X \) = Concentration of ATE,
- \( C_Y \) = Concentration of IND;
- \( A_1 \) = Absorbance of mixture at 225nm;
- \( A_2 \) = Absorbance of mixture at 240nm;
- \( a_1x_1 \) = Absorptivity of ATE at 225nm;
- \( a_2x_2 \) = Absorptivity of ATE at 240nm;
- \( a_1y_1 \) = Absorptivity of IND at 225nm;
- \( a_2y_2 \) = Absorptivity of IND at 240nm

![Figure 1: Simultaneous spectra for ATE and IND in the range 200-400nm](image)

2) **Absorbance ratio method**

In the absorbance ratio method, from the overlay spectra of both drugs (fig-2), wavelengths 227.0nm (Isosorpptive point) and 225.0nm (\( \lambda_{max} \) of indapamide) were selected for analysis. The calibration curves for atenolol and indapamide were plotted in the concentration range of 5-20µg/ml for atenolol indapamide and respectively in both methods which obeys Beer-Lambert’s law. The results of the same are shown in fig 3 and fig4.

![Figure 3: Linearity of Atenolol](image)

![Figure 4: Linearity of Indapamide](image)

**Validation parameter**

**Linearity**

The linearity was obtained in the concentration range of 5-20µg/ml and 5-20µg/ml for atenolol indapamide and respectively in both methods which obeys Beer-Lambert’s law. The results of the same are shown in fig 3 and fig4.

**Accuracy**

To ascertain the accuracy of the proposed methods, recovery studies were carried out by standard addition method at Table (1) and Table (2).
Limit of detection (LOD) and Limit of quantitation (LOQ)

The LOD and LOQ by proposed methods were determined using calibration standards. LOD and LOQ were calculated as 3.3s/S and 10s/S, respectively, where S is the slope of the calibration curve and s is the standard deviation of response. The results of the same are shown in Table (1) and Table (2).

Results of analysis of tablet formulation

Table 1: Simultaneous equation method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Label Claim (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Amount Taken (mg/tab)</th>
<th>Amount Found (mg)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>S.E</th>
<th>C.V</th>
<th>LOD (µg/ml)</th>
<th>LOQ (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATN</td>
<td>50mg/tab</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td>99.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>2.5mg/tab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.D: Standard Deviation, S.E: Standard Error, C.V: Coefficient Variation

Table 2: Absorbance ratio method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Label Claim (µg/ml)</th>
<th>Amount Taken (mg/tab)</th>
<th>Amount Found (mg)</th>
<th>% Recovery</th>
<th>S.D</th>
<th>S.E</th>
<th>C.V</th>
<th>LOD (µg/ml)</th>
<th>LOQ (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATN</td>
<td>50mg/tab</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.84</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IND</td>
<td>2.5mg/tab</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.442</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.D: Standard Deviation, S.E: Standard Error, C.V: Coefficient Variation

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the proposed research, it was found that atenolol and indapamide obeys linearity within the concentration range 5-20µg/ml and 5-20µg/ml respectively. Percentage label claim for ATN and IND in tablet, by simultaneous equation and absorption ratio method was found in the range of 99% to 99.6% and 88% to 90% respectively. For Coefficient of variation (CV) were calculated, which was found to be less than 2% indicating the both method has good reproducibility. Accuracy of proposed methods was ascertained by recovery studies and results are expressed as %recovery. Percent recovery for ATN and IND by simultaneous equation and absorption ratio method was found in range of 99% to 99.6% and 88% to 90% respectively, values of standard deviation, standard error and coefficient of variation for both method were in range of 0.230 to 0.368 and 0.577 to 0.630; 0.115 to 0.184 and 0.285 to 0.315; 0.231 to 0.371 and 0.649 to 0.708 respectively indicating the accuracy of proposed method.

CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained, it is found that the proposed methods are accurate, precise, reproducible and economical and can be employed for routine quality control of atenolol and indapamide in combined dose tablet formulation.
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