ABSTRACT

Andrographis paniculata is an important medicinal plant belongs to the family Acanthaceae. It is being used in traditional medicine, as a remedy for the cold, fever and detoxification of the body since time immemorial. Kalmegh has successfully stopped the spread of Indian Flu in the year 1919. In addition to above, the plant drug is also used in immunomodulatory, antibacterial and anti-inflammatory etc. Most of the biological actions of the selected plant is due to its principal chemical constituent, Andrographolide. The present work has been carried out to investigate the pharmacognostic profile of the leaves of the plant Andrographis paniculata, which are collected from the forests of the study area. Macroscopic and microscopic evaluation, fluorescence standards and phytochemical screening were carried out on the above plant. The present study on botanical pharmacognosy of leaves of Andrographis paniculata thus provides useful information for quality control parameters for the crude drugs. Macroscopic, microscopic, fluorescence standards and qualitative phytochemical screening discussed here can be considered as identifying parameters to identify and authenticate the drug.
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INTRODUCTION

Andrographis paniculata is a herbaceous medicinal plant, native to India, Taiwan and China belongs to the family Acanthaceae. All parts of the plant have bitter taste and it is commonly known as 'Kalmegh or King of Bitters. Mostly the leaves and root are used in the traditional system of Indian medicine for the treatment of a wide range of ailments, being as febrifuge, bitter tonic, stomachic, wounds, ulcers, skin diseases, leprosy, diarrhea, dysentery, anthelmintic and cardiotonic. The review has revealed that the plant has been investigated extensively by various workers for its phytochemical pharmacological microbiological physiological genetics and seed germination studies. However, there seems to be no systematic study on the botanical pharmacognosy of A. paniculata. The present study was undertaken with the objectives to investigate the pharmacognostical profile of leaves of the above plant, the study will help in standardization of samples of whole, cut or powdered plant material which could guarantee accurate means of identifying crude drugs.

Plant Material

Andrographis paniculata was collected from the forest areas of Medak District of the State of Andhra Pradesh. Plants collected were authenticated by comparing with appropriate voucher specimens at the herbaria in Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh and also were compared with major published literature. Plant specimens were prepared into Herbarium (Earle Smith C. Jr., 1971) and were deposited at Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Upper and lower epidermal peels were observed under lower power (10x X 40x) and higher power (10x X 100x) using LEICA LS2 binocular research microscope with Magnus camera 2 mega pixel. Fine hand sections of leaf epidermis were taken using standard procedures and were stained with Aqueous Safranin 1% and mounted in glycerin. Fluorescence analysis of the powder was carried out under normal and UV light (256 nm & 366 nm) using Camang UV cabinet, following the Dictionary of colours. The number of epidermal cells, stomatal number, stomatal index were calculated per square centimeter of leaf area from intercostal areas of fresh leaves.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Macroscopic

Andrographis paniculata is a much branched annual herb, 1–1.5 m height. Stem: sharply quadrangular. Leaves: simple, opposite, lanceolate, glabrous, 5–8 cm long, 1–2 cm wide, entire, acute, upper surface is dark green, pale beneath. Inflorescence: terminal or axillary panicle. Flowers: small, white with purplish or violet markings. Calyx: 5-partite, pubescent. Corolla: bilabiate, hairy upper lip oblong, lower lip 3-lobed. Stamens: two, inserted in the throat, ovary 2-celled. Fruit: capsule, linear-oblong, two celled, compressed, longitudinally furrowed on broad faces, acute at both ends, glandular-hairy. Seeds: small, 6–10, round or ovoid, yellowish brown. Root is cylindrical, curved, tapers, 5–20 cm long and 1.5–5 cm in diameter. Externally it is grayish brown, when fractured, the inside is starchy white. (Figure 1)
Microscopic Evaluation of Leaves

Upper epidermis

The upper surface is dark green and surface is smooth without epidermal trichomes, cells are 5-7 sided and mostly polygonal anisodiametric cells. The anticlinal cell walls are curved to wavy, thin walled, outer wall is flat with epidermal cells, surface is minute pointed epidermal outgrowths are present. The epidermal cells are size wise varied within the same surface as the bigger size of epidermal cells present on either side of midvein. The epidermal cells are smaller on the apex region, base and on margin. Cytoplasm is scanty and the distribution of epidermal cells are irregularly arranged and variously distributed. Costal cells are rectangular or barrel shaped isodiametric rarely anisodiametric with outer surface convex, anticlinal walls are straight, thin walled, cytoplasm is scanty, cells are arranged parallel to the leaf axis along with midvein and variously oriented. Crystals are maximum on costal cells and also bigger size crystals are more. Crystals are druses and are carrot shaped small and big size. The crystals are grape to round shape arranged in the form of Ashoka tree shape. The distribution is they are irregularly arranged and arranged in horizontal to the midvein. Epidermal cells distributed throughout except on primary 1°, 2° veins. Trichomes are absent on upper epidermis. The average lower epidermal cell count was 137 cells per 400x. Stomata are absent on upper epidermis, hence the plant leaves are hypostomatic. (figures 2,3)

Lower epidermis

The lower surface is pale green and without any trichomes, cells are polygonal anisodiametric and rarely isodiametric, 5-7 sided. Anticlinal cell walls are sinuated with U and V curvature. The cell walls are thin, cytoplasm scanty, outer wall is flat. Costal cells are only on mid veins. Crystals mostly are small sized, trichomes absent. The average lower epidermal cell count was 107 cells per 400x. Stomata are mostly diacytic types, stomatal frequency is 43 cells per 400x and the stomatal index observed is 28 cells per 400x. (figure 4)

Fluorescence standards

Fresh leaves of Andrographis paniculata growing wild in the forests of Medak District were used for their colour characterisation under normal and UV light. The leaf powders are soaked in water and methanol were observed to establish authentication of the plant powders for their colours. The colours exhibited by the extract and the residue of leaf powders were clearly explained in table-1, figure 5.

Phytochemical screening

Preparation of extracts

To prepare the methanolic extract, 150 g of each of the ten plant material was collected, dried in the oven at 70°C for 4 h and reduced to powder. It was separately
macerated with the above solvent and allowed to stand for 72 hrs and then filtered. The filtrates were then evaporated under reduced pressure and dried using a rotary evaporator at 55°C. Dried extracts were stored in labeled sterile screw capped bottles at 5°C in the refrigerator, until when required for use. For the aqueous extraction, 50 g of the plant powder was weighed into 50 ml Eylen-Mayer flask and to this was added 400 ml of distilled water. This was heated to boil using hot plate. The mixture was stirred at regular intervals (3-5 min) for one hour after which it was filtered with No. 1 Whatman filter paper (W and R Balson Ltd, England). The filtrate was then filtered sterilized using a membrane filter of pore size 0.45 cm diameter (millipores corp, England). The extracts were concentrated in a hot water bath at 80°C for 5 h during which 0.5 g charcoal was added to decolorize it. Sterile decolorized filtered extract was then refrigerated at 5°C until required for use.

**Phytochemical analysis**

Standardization of plant extract (Juice) was done with the help of extractive values (water and methanolic).

Chemical tests for the screening and identification of bioactive chemical constituents in the medicinal plants under study were carried out in extracts as well as powder specimens using the standard procedures as described by; 18-21

**Table 1**: Fluorescence standards of leaf powder extract and residue under O.L and U.V light

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of the colour</th>
<th>Under normal light</th>
<th>Under uv light</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dist. Water</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corinthian Pk.</td>
<td>Gargoyle</td>
<td>Piquant Gr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asphodel Gr.</td>
<td>Cypress Gr+</td>
<td>Pyrite Y.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Showing the phytochemical screening of leaf powders in water and methanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical Constituents</th>
<th>Dist. Water</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terpenoids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Glycosides</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboxylic acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinones</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthopretins</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the phytochemical analysis of the leaf extracts in aqueous and methanolic extractions have shown a notable variation of phytochemical compounds. The detailed screening of fourteen phytochemicals are shown in table 2, figure 6. The study revealed that the leaf extracts of *Andrographis paniculata* are showing the presence of alkaloids, glycosides, phenols, terpenoids, saponins, tannins, cardiac glycosides, coumarins, quinines, xanthoproteins but flavonoids, steroids, resins and carboxylic acid are absent. While in methanolic extracts, flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, steroids, phenols, terpenoids, saponins, tannins, cardiac glycosides, coumarins, quinines showed their presence, whereas resins, carboxylic acids and xanthoproteins are completely absent.

**Figure 6**: Phytochemical screening of leaf powders

**CONCLUSION**

The present study on botanical pharmacognosy of *Andrographis paniculata* provides useful information for quality control parameters for the crude drugs. Macro, microscopic, powder, fluorescence standards discussed here can be considered as identifying parameters to substantiate and authenticate the drug and leaves a scope for further investigations.
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