Bioactive Flavonoid Glycosides and Antidiabetic Activity of Jatropha curcas on Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Rats
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ABSTRACT

The current research sheds light on the antidiabetic action of different extracts of Jatropha curcas leaves in the management of streptozotocin (STZ)-induced type 2 diabetes in rats. Active compounds isolated from the most bioactive extract were characterized and identified. Petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts were comparatively tested for their antidiabetic potential. Diabetes was induced in female Wistar rats using STZ (45 mg/kg body weight). Throughout the experimental period (30 days), diabetic rats showed significant elevation in glucose, liver function enzymes; aspartate and alanine transaminase (AST and ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), triglycerides (TG), total cholesterol (TC), total lipids (TL), nitric oxide (NO), malondialdehyde (MDA) while, significant decrease was found in the levels of serum α-amylase, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and glutathione reduced (GSH) as compared to normal control rats. However, the level of total protein did not change. Oral administration of successive and crude extracts of J. curcas (250 mg/kg body weight) to diabetic rats returned the levels of AST, ALT, ALP, TL, NO, and MDA to the normal levels. While, different extracts of J. curcas fluctuated significant improvement in the levels of glucose, α-amylase, TG, TC, and GSH as compared to normal control rats. However, administration of J. curcas extracts did not reveal change in total protein levels and LDH activity. Moreover, diabetic rats treated with antidiabetic Glibenclamide drug (10 mg/kg body weight) showed insignificant change for glucose, ALT, ALP, LDH, protein, TG, NO and MDA while, significant increase for α-amylase and TC was noticed. However, significant decrease for AST, TL and GSH was noticed as compared to normal control rats. Histopathological investigation of pancreas of diabetic rats showed perivascular inflammatory cells infiltration, necrosis of Langerhan’s islets and apoptosis of acinar epithelium. Moreover, liver of diabetic rats revealed focal hepatic necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration and portal infiltration with inflammatory cells. The current histopathological investigation revealed the regenerative and protective effect of extracts on β-cells and liver in diabetic rats and apparent normal. Active compounds isolated from ethyl acetate extract of J. curcas were characterized and identified. Three flavonoid glycosides; apigenin-7-O-β-rhamnoglucoside (rhifolin), luteolin 6-C-β-D-glucopyranoside (isoorientin) and quercetin 3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (isouqueretin) were isolated from ethyl acetate extract for the first time from this specie. So, it could be concluded that, the antioxidant and anti-hyperglycemic properties of plant extracts may offer a potential therapeutic source for the treatment of diabetes. In addition, the present results proved that the ethyl acetate extract had bioactive compounds that protect against aberrations caused by diabetes in rats.
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INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic disease that is characterized by the deficiency in insulin production, insulin action or both of them leading to hyperglycemia (increasing of glucose levels). Oxidative stress, inflammation, genetic, habitual, environmental and epigenetic are pathophysiological factors included in insulin resistance. Hyperglycemia exhibits the oxidative stress through several mechanisms including the activation of xanthine and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidases, cyclooxygenase, uncoupled nitric oxide synthase (NOS), glucose autoxidation, polyol pathway and formation of advanced glycation end products (AGE). Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) may promote atherosclerosis by oxygenating and modifying low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) to the oxidized form LDL-C, resulting in the accumulation of cholesterol in phagocytes and the formation of foam cells. Additionally, diabetic patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes fall under the risk of vascular disease greater with 2 to 4-fold than individuals without diabetes besides; they are exposed to the cardiovascular disease which is the precursor of death. In type 2 diabetes, the progression of β-cells loss may results from the increase of gluco-toxicity, lipotoxicity, endoplasmic reticulum-induced stress and apoptosis. Moreover, diabetes induction with STZ leads to inflame and ultimately degenerate the Langerhan islets β-cells. In addition to hyperglycemia, STZ-induction results in atrial and ventricular stiffening accompanied by alterations in systolic and diastolic functions. Recently, utilization of plants as herbal therapy has been gaining popularity among clinicians and represents a good source of therapeutic agents due to their beneficial effects with minimum toxicity, natural origins, lower side effects and relatively lower costs as compared to synthetic drugs. Medicinal plants produce antihyperglycemic effects and are vital in new drugs.
development due to their content of bioactive compounds (phytochemicals) that have plentiful of biological activities. Phytochemicals produce antidiabetic action throughout many modes of actions. For example, alkaloids inhibiting alpha-glucosidase resulting in reduce glucose transport through the intestinal epithelium.\textsuperscript{12} Also, phenolics have the ability to increase both of the serum insulin levels, the sensitivity of tissues to insulin action and stimulate the enzymes activity of glucose utilization while, flavonoids depress the high levels of glucose level, plasma cholesterol and triglycerides.\textsuperscript{3} Saponins stimulate the release of insulin and block the formation of glucose in the bloodstream.\textsuperscript{13}

\textit{J. curcas} (Euphorbiaceae family) is a semi-evergreen shrub or small tree, resistant to a high degree of aridity, allowing it to be grown in deserts. The genus name, \textit{Jatropha} derived from the Greek word \textit{jatro}’s (doctor) and \textit{trophe}’ (food), which connotes its medicinal uses. Several biological activities were reported for the plant throughout the amelioration of neuropathic pain and treating inflammatory diseases such as gout.\textsuperscript{7} Thus, the present work aims to evaluate the hypoglycemic and antioxidant effects of \textit{J. curcas} in STZ-induced diabetic rats through measuring the levels of glucose, \textalpha-\textit{amylase}, liver function enzyme activities; (AST, ALT, and ALP), LDH, total protein, TG, TC, TL, NO, GSH and MDA beside histopathological examination of pancreas and liver, as well as the identification of bioactive compounds from the promising extract.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection and preparation of plant material**

\textit{J. curcas} fresh leaves were collected from the farm of Aromatic and Medicinal Plant Department, Agriculture Research Centre, Egypt during July 2013. The plant was kindly authenticated by Mrs Treas Labib, Herbarium section, El-Orman Botanical Garden, Giza, Egypt. The leaves were washed with tap water then with distilled water to remove dust and dirt. Leaves were air dried under shade then ground and homogenized to coarse powder finally stored in opaque screw tight jars until use.

**Successive and crude extracts preparation**

**Successive extracts preparation**

About 2.5 kg powdered leaves were extracted successively by cold maceration method with different solvents of increasing polarity on shaker (Heidolph) i.e. petroleum ether, ethyl acetate and methanol. The marc was dried each time before extraction with next solvent. After complete extraction the extracts were filtered by using Whatman grade No. 4 filter paper and Buchner. Filtrates were concentrated using Rotary evaporator (Heidolph) at 40°C under vacuum and stored in refrigerator (4°C) till biological assay and chemical analysis.

**Crude methanolic extract preparation**

About 300 g of powdered leaves of \textit{J. curcas} were extracted by cold maceration in methanol on shaker. The extract was filtered by using Whatman grade No. 4 filter paper and Buchner. The filtrate was concentrated using Rotary evaporator at 40°C under vacuum and stored in refrigerator (4°C) till biological assay and chemical analysis.

**Chemicals and reagents**

All chemicals in the present study are of analytical grade, products of Sigma, Merck and Aldrich. All kits were the products of Biosystems (Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain), Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA), Biodiagnostic Company (Cairo, Egypt).

**Animals**

110 female albino rats (130-150 g), were used for the evaluation of anti-diabetic effects of successive and crude extracts were provided by the Animal House of the National Research Center (NRC) and housed in a temperature-controlled environment (26-29°C) with a fixed light/dark cycle for one week as an adaptation period to aclimatize under normal combination with free access to water and food. The present study is approved by the Ethical Committee of the NRC, Egypt, provided that the animals will not suffer at any stage of the experiment.

**Experimental design**

110 female albino rats were selected for this study and divided into eleven groups of ten rats each as follows:

- **Group 1**: Normal healthy control rats.
- **Groups 2-5**: Normal rats treated orally with 250 mg/kg body of petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts for 30 days.\textsuperscript{14}
- **Group 6**: Is considered as diabetic group; where type2 diabetes was induced by intraperitoneally injection of a single dose of STZ (45 mg/kg body weight dissolved in 0.01 M citrate buffer immediately before use).\textsuperscript{15,16} After injection, animals had free access for food, water and were given 5% glucose solution to drink overnight to encounter hypoglycaemic shock. Animals were checked daily for the presence of glycosuria.\textsuperscript{3} Animals were considered to be diabetic if glycosuria was present for 3 consecutive days. After 3 days of STZ injection fasting blood samples were obtained and blood sugar was determined (≥300 mg/dl). Hyperglycemic rats were used for the experiment and classified as follows:
- **Group 7-10**: Diabetic rats oral administered 250 mg/kg body weight petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts for 30 days respectively.
- **Group 11**: Diabetic rats administered orally antidiabetic glibenclamide reference drug 10 mg/kg body weight daily for 30 days.\textsuperscript{17}
Sample preparations
After 30 days of treatments, rats were fasted overnight (12-14 hours), anesthetized by diethyl ether and blood collected by puncture of the sublingual vein in clean and dry test tube, left 10 minutes to clot and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for serum separation. The separated serum was used for biochemical analysis of blood glucose and α-amylase levels, liver function enzymes (AST, ALT, ALP, and LDH), total protein and lipid profile (TG, TC and TL). After blood collection, rats of each group were sacrificed, and liver and pancreas were removed immediately (a part was fixed in 10% formalin for histopathological examination). Liver in each exponential group was weighed and homogenized in 5-10 volumes of appropriate medium using electrical homogenizer, centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min, the supernatants (10%) were collected and placed in Eppendorff tubes then stored at -80°C and used for determination of oxidative stress markers (NO and MDA) as well as non-enzymatic antioxidant (GSH).

Biochemical examination
Glucose was determined in blood serum using colorimetric kits. Inhibitory activity of α-amylase was estimated. AST and ALT enzyme activities were assayed. LDH enzyme activity was determined. Total protein (TP) content was assayed in serum. TG level was determined. TC level was estimated. TL level was measured. Liver nitrite (NO) level was estimated. GSH level was assayed in liver homogenate. Liver MDA level was estimated.

Calculation:
\[
\text{Mean of control} - \text{mean of treated} \times 100
\]
\[
\text{% change} = \frac{\text{Mean of control} - \text{mean of treated}}{\text{Mean of control}} \times 100
\]
\[
\text{% of improvement} = \frac{\text{Mean of treated} - \text{mean of disease}}{\text{Mean of control}} \times 100
\]

Histopathological analysis
Pancreas and liver slices were fixed instantaneously in buffer neutral formalin (10%) for 24 hours for fixation then processed in automatic processors, embedded in paraffin wax (melting point 55-60°C) and paraffin blocks were obtained. Sections of 6 μm thicknesses were prepared and stained with Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stain. The cytoplasm stained shades of pink, red and the nuclei gave blue colour. The slides were examined and photographed under a light microscope at a magnification power of x 400.

Phytochemical Investigation of J. curcas leaves for the main constituents
General
The NMR spectra were recorded at 300 and 500 (1H) and 75, 125 (13C) MHz, on a Varian Mercury 300 and JEOL GX-500 NMR spectrometers and δ-values are reported as ppm relative to TMS in the convenient solvent. MS analyses were recorded on LCQ (Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany) UV analyses for pure samples were recorded, separately, as MeOH solutions and with different diagnostic UV shift reagents on a Shimadzu UV 240 (P/N 240 ~58000). For column chromatography; Silica gel, Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden), microcrystalline cellulose (E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and polyamide 5 (Fluka, Steinheim, Switzerland) were used. For paper chromatography (PC); Whatmann no. 1 sheets (Whatmann ltd., Maidstone, Kent, England) were used.

Thin layer chromatographic technique (TLC)
It was performed on percolated silica gel plates using the following different solvent systems as: S1: n-BuOH-HOAc-H2O (4:1.5, upper layer); S2: 15 % aqueous HOAc; S3: CHCl3/Methanol (B:2); S4: C6H5/ETOAc (9.5:0.5); S5: CH3Cl/Methanol (95:5); S6: C6H6/ETOAc (7:3); S7: CHCl3/Methanol (9:1); S8 (CHCl3/ETOAc 9:1). The detection of spots was observed visually under UV and after spraying with different spraying reagent as I. naturstoff reagent (NA/PE) [a specific spray reagent for the detection of flavonoids]. It was composed of a 1% methanolic solution of diphenylborinic acid ethanolic amine complex and a 5% ethanolic solution of polyethylene glycol 400, heat the dry chromatogram at 120 °C for 10 min. and showed under UV light (365 nm). II. AlCl3 (1% ethanolic) and III. p-anisaldehyde-sulphuric acid. Isolation and purification of the main constituents
On the basis of biological studies in the current study, the ethyl acetate extract was chosen for the isolation of its major components. This fraction was screened by PC using Whatman no. 1 sheets, and S1 and S2 as solvent systems. The chromatograms were examined under UV light (256 and 366 nm) before and after exposure to ammonia vapor, as well as, after spraying with reagent II. A sample of the ethyl acetate (20 g) was fractionated on a polyamide column (110 x 5, 60g). Elution was carried out using water, water-methanol mixtures with decreasing polarity till methanol, then acetone. Fractions, 100 ml each, were collected and monitored by PC, using Whatman no. 1 sheets and S2 as solvent system. Similar fractions of different chromatographic profile were pooled together to yield four main fractions (FI – FIV). FII, FIII and FIV were the major and subjected to the isolation of their constituents. These fractions were chromatographed on different columns. A major compound (120 mg) was subjected to repeated column chromatography on microcrystalline cellulose using n-BuOH-isopropanol-H2O (BIW, 4:1.5, top layer) as an eluent to give pure 2 (23 mg). Fraction FIV (285 mg) was chromatographed on Sephadex column and eluted with a gradient elution of MeOH-H2O (100:0-0:100 v/v) to give pure compounds of 3 (38 mg).
Statistical Analysis
Data presented as mean ± S.D, n=10. Statistical analysis was carried out by using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) SPSS (version 7) computer program and Co-state computer program, where unshared letter is significant at P ≤ 0.05.

RESULTS
The current study was carried out to examine the antidiabetic activity of successive and crude extracts of J. curcas leaves beside the identification of bioactive compounds and histopathological examination.

Blood glucose level and α-amylase activity
Insignificant change was detected in glucose level in healthy negative control rats treated with successive (petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, methanol) and crude methanolic extracts of J. curcas as compared to normal control rats (Table 1). The activity of α-amylase was decreased significantly in the treatment of normal healthy rats with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts with percentages decrease of 17.85, 19.62, 17.85 and 17.53%, respectively. Blood glucose level of diabetic rats showed significant increase (229.34%), while significant decrease in α-amylase activity was detected (35.71%) as compared to normal control rats. Treatment of diabetic rats with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate and crude methanolic extracts of J. curcas as well as Glibenclamide declared insignificant change in blood glucose level as compared to normal control rats. Although, successive methanolic extract recorded significant increase in glucose level with percentage of improvement 190.39%. While, α-amylase enzyme activity showed significant decrease with percentages of improvement 7.14, 9.31, 11.60, 10.71 and 14.33%, for petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts as well as Glibenclamide standard drug respectively (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparative effects of successive and crude extracts of J. curcas supplementation on blood glucose and α-amylase levels in different therapeutic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/dl)</th>
<th>α-amylase (U/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>95.72±4.66 cd</td>
<td>1151.12±67.14 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>88.25±8.88 d</td>
<td>945.55±47.47 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>94.6±3.74 d</td>
<td>925.25 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>77.25±5.74 d</td>
<td>945.55±47.47 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>87.50±9.57 d</td>
<td>949.25±38.38 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rats</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>315.25±42.01 cd</td>
<td>739.99±67.14 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>94±12.94 cd</td>
<td>822.22±67.13 cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>97.75±11.12 cd</td>
<td>847.25±13.3 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>133±15.89 bc</td>
<td>873.61±39.36 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>118±12.06 bc</td>
<td>863.33±47.47 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>100±25±12.61 cd</td>
<td>904.97±45.30 bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liver function enzyme activities (AST, ALT and ALP), LDH and total protein content
Insignificant change in the enzyme activities of AST, ALT, ALP, LDH and total protein content were detected in healthy rats orally administrated successive and crude extracts of J. curcas (Table 2). However, ethyl acetate and successive methanolic extracts showed similarly significant decrease in AST enzyme activity with percentage decrease 31.11%, as compared to normal control rats. While, diabetic rats exhibited significant increase in enzyme activities; AST, ALT and ALP (24.44, 118.75 and 54.08%, respectively) as compared to normal control rats. Although, LDH enzyme activity (Table 3) showed significant decrease in diabetic rats (59.61%) as significant increase in AST enzyme activity with percentage decrease 31.11%, as compared to normal control rats. While, diabetic rats exhibited significant increase in enzyme activities; AST, ALT and ALP (24.44, 118.75 and 54.08%, respectively) as compared to normal control rats. Although, LDH enzyme activity (Table 3) showed significant decrease in diabetic rats (59.61%) as
compared to normal one. While, total protein content was insignificantly changed in diabetic rats. Treatment of diabetic rats with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts of J. curcas showed insignificant change in AST enzyme activity while, antidiabetic drug demonstrated significant decrease in AST enzyme activity by improvement percentage 45.33%. However, ALT, ALP, LDH enzyme activities and total protein content recorded insignificant change with the treatment of petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts of J. curcas as well as Glibenclamide standard drug as compared to normal control rats (Tables 2 & 3).

Table 2: Comparative effects of successive and crude extracts of J. curcas supplementation on serum AST, ALT and ALP enzyme activities in different therapeutic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>AST (µmole/ml)</th>
<th>ALT (µmole/ml)</th>
<th>ALP (µmole/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>2.25±0.28abc</td>
<td>1.28×10.57d</td>
<td>79.86±0.55bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.55±0.24ab</td>
<td>1.35±0.77abc</td>
<td>70.12±0.37abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>-31.11</td>
<td>-5.46</td>
<td>12.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.53±0.77abc</td>
<td>1.83±0.88abc</td>
<td>84.94±0.59abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rats</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.83±0.15abc</td>
<td>1.50±0.63abc</td>
<td>93.14±0.22abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>31.11</td>
<td>-17.18</td>
<td>-16.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.80±0.14bc</td>
<td>2.80±0.14abc</td>
<td>123.05±0.38abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>-24.44</td>
<td>-118.75</td>
<td>-54.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.65±0.77abc</td>
<td>1.20±0.17abc</td>
<td>100.09±0.70abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>2.20±0.14abc</td>
<td>1.45±0.17abc</td>
<td>71.04±0.16abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.88±0.25abc</td>
<td>1.53±0.19abc</td>
<td>79±0.65abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>-19.53</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>1.93±0.25abc</td>
<td>1.33±0.19abc</td>
<td>63.25±0.76abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>-3.90</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>-38.66</td>
<td>-114.84</td>
<td>-74.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparative effects of successive and crude extracts of J. curcas supplementation on serum LDH enzyme activity and total protein content in different therapeutic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LDH (U/l)</th>
<th>Protein (TP) (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>2600±1.15a</td>
<td>87±2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>21250±1.46a</td>
<td>86.75±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>27000±1.20a</td>
<td>85.50±1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>23000±1.47a</td>
<td>87.25±1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>30500±1.24a</td>
<td>84±1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rats</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>10500±1.13a</td>
<td>86.25±1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>27500±1.21a</td>
<td>86±0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>19225±1.37a</td>
<td>85.50±1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>24750±1.38a</td>
<td>89.50±2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>8.75±1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>23750±1.36a</td>
<td>89±1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lipid profile

Lipid profile in healthy control rats treated with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts of *J. curcas* were insignificantly change as compared to normal control rats (Table 4). Diabetic rats showed significant elevation in TG (104.33%), TC (232.80%) and TL (66.99%) as compared to control rats (Table 4).

The oral supplementation of *J. curcas* extracts and Glibenclamide to diabetic rats resulted in insignificant change in TG levels except for crude methanolic extract which showed significant decrease with improvement percentage 60.64%. While, TC levels showed significant increase with percentages of improvement 85.70, 105.53, 33.26, 66.73 and 152.10%, for petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts as well as Glibenclamide respectively. In addition, TL level showed significant decrease by percentages of improvement reached to 107.96, 103.21 and 91.39%, with ethyl acetate, successive methanolic and Glibenclamide treatments, and respectively as compared to normal control group (Table 4).

Non-enzymatic antioxidant

It is clear from Table 5 that, normal control rats treated with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts of *J. curcas*, the levels of NO, GSH and MDA showed insignificant change as compared to normal control rats. Diabetic rats recorded significant increase in NO and MDA levels by 105.20 and 56.41% respectively. While, significant reduction in GSH level (49.78%) was observed.

Treatment of diabetic rats with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts of *J. curcas* as well as Glibenclamide drug showed insignificant change in NO level as compared to normal control rats. On the contrary, GSH level recorded significant decrease with improvement percentages 32.57, 26.44, 26.96 and 26.66%, respectively for petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts as well as Glibenclamide as compared to normal control rats. While, successive methanolic extract showed insignificant change in GSH level as compared to normal control rats (Table 5). Also, the MDA level demonstrated insignificant change post treatment of diabetic rats with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts as well as Glibenclamide, a standard anti-diabetic drug (Table 5).

Table 4: Comparative effects of successive and crude extracts of *J. curcas* supplementation on lipid profile TG, TC and TL in different therapeutic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>TG (mg/dl)</th>
<th>TC (mg/dl)</th>
<th>TL (mg/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>83.93±16.69</td>
<td>24.20±7.01</td>
<td>362.50±65.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>53.47±15.04</td>
<td>25.20±9.11</td>
<td>352.69±56.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>36.29</td>
<td>-4.13</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>63.73±11.10</td>
<td>35.29±8.32</td>
<td>365.37±24.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>-45.83</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>54.24±13.92</td>
<td>22.52±2.5</td>
<td>313.99±27.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>35.37</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>13.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>60.16±13.3c</td>
<td>33±2.14f</td>
<td>299.92±10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>28.51</td>
<td>-36.36</td>
<td>17.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rats</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>171.50±30.61</td>
<td>80.54±18.54</td>
<td>605.34±199.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>-104.33</td>
<td>-232.80</td>
<td>-66.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>73.82±7.62</td>
<td>59.80±3.22</td>
<td>307.43±20.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>-147.10</td>
<td>15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>-116.38</td>
<td>-85.70</td>
<td>-82.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>76.61±10.93</td>
<td>55±4.08c</td>
<td>213.98±14.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>-127.27</td>
<td>40.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>-113.05</td>
<td>-105.53</td>
<td>-107.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>76.61±10.93</td>
<td>72.49±12.76</td>
<td>231.17±30.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>8.72</td>
<td>-199.54</td>
<td>36.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>-113.05</td>
<td>-33.26</td>
<td>-103.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>33.03±6.75</td>
<td>64.39±2.44</td>
<td>307.69±41.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>-60.64</td>
<td>-166.07</td>
<td>15.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>-164.98</td>
<td>-66.73</td>
<td>-82.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean ± S.D.</td>
<td>70.71±8.21</td>
<td>43.73±5.10</td>
<td>274.04±12.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>% Change to control</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>-80.70</td>
<td>24.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>% Of improvement</td>
<td>-120.08</td>
<td>-152.10</td>
<td>-91.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Histopathological investigation of pancreas and liver

Pancreas

Figures 1a-l showed the histopathological examination of normal pancreas, diabetic and diabetic- treated rats. Histological changes were observed in pancreas of diabetic rats (Figures 1b-d) where, demonstrated perivascular inflammatory cells infiltration, necrosis of islets of Langerhan’s and apoptosis of acinar epithelium. Inflammatory cell infiltration of pancreas was observed by Kakey et al.31

Treatments of diabetic rats with petroleum ether and ethyl acetate extracts of *J. curcas* (Figures 1e and 1f) showed vacuolation of Langerhan’s islets. However, pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with successive and crude methanolic extracts as well as Glibenclamide was improved toward normal condition with dilatation of pancreatic duct (Figures 1g and 1h) and with vacuolation of Langerhan’s islets (Figure 1k) respectively.

Liver

Histopathological examination of normal liver, diabetic and diabetic-treated rats were presented in (Figures 2a-o). In diabetic rats (Figures 2b-d), focal hepatic necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration and portal infiltration with inflammatory cells were observed. Necrosis, lymphocytic infiltration in the portal areas and focal liver cells disruption were noticed by Masjedi et al.32

Treatments of diabetic rats with petroleum ether extract (Figures 2e and 2f) showed congestion of central vein with no other histopathological changes. Although, the liver of diabetic-treated rats with ethyl acetate extract (Figures 2g and 2h) showed portal infiltration with inflammatory cells and no other histological changes were detected.

Diabetic-treated rats with successive methanolic extract (Figures 2i and 2j) revealed sinusoidal leukocytosis and no other histopathological changes were observed. While, crude methanolic extract and glibenclamide treated diabetic rats (Figures 2k-o) showed respectively no histopathological changes and cholangitis, cytoplasmic vacuolation of hepatocytes as well as slight dilatation of hepatic sinusoids.

Table 5: Comparative effect of successive and crude extracts of *J. curcas* supplementation on antioxidant scavenging activity in different therapeutic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NO (µmole/ml)</th>
<th>GSH (mmole/l)</th>
<th>MDA (µmole/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>16.72±3.53ab</td>
<td>101.07±6.07bc</td>
<td>0.39±2.99bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>18.56±1.98ab</td>
<td>102.53±9.30ab</td>
<td>0.40±2.87bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>15.47±4.45b</td>
<td>92±4.70abc</td>
<td>0.39±3.93b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>14.50±1.29b</td>
<td>90.23±4.95bc</td>
<td>0.41±2.08b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>17.22±4.53b</td>
<td>90.73±4.30c</td>
<td>0.38±4.06b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic rats</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>34.31±3.33d</td>
<td>50.75±7.18d</td>
<td>0.61±6.22d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with petroleum ether extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>11.63±1.38c</td>
<td>83.67±2.45cd</td>
<td>0.42±5.57b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with ethyl acetate extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>14.41±1.15b</td>
<td>77.49±6.85d</td>
<td>0.42±3.37b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with successive methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>18.22±3.26b</td>
<td>99.23±1.75bd</td>
<td>0.41±1.25b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with crude methanolic extract</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>14.65±1.39b</td>
<td>78±4.32d</td>
<td>0.43±8.17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic treated with antidiabetic drug</td>
<td>Mean± S.D.</td>
<td>14.25±1.5b</td>
<td>77.7±5.53d</td>
<td>0.43±2.36b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure (1a): Pancreas of normal control rats showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (1b): Pancreas of diabetic rats showing perivascular inflammatory cells infiltration (H & E X 400).

Figure (1c): Pancreas of diabetic rats showing necrosis of islets of Langerhan’s (H & E X 400).

Figure (1d): Pancreas of diabetic rats showing apoptosis of acinar epithelium (H & E X 400).

Figure (1e): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with petroleum ether extract showing vacuolation of islets of Langerhan’s (H & E X 400).

Figure (1f): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with ethyl acetate extract showing vacuolation of islets of Langerhan’s (H & E X 400).

Figure (1g): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with successive methanolic extract showing dilatation of pancreatic duct (H & E X 400).

Figure (1h): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with successive methanolic extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (1i): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with crude methanolic extract showing dilatation of pancreatic duct (H & E X 400).

Figure (1j): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with crude methanolic extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (1k): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with glibenclamide drug showing vacuolation of islets of Langerhan’s (H & E X 400).

Figure (1l): Pancreas of diabetic-treated rats with glibenclamide drug showing no histopathological change (H & E X 400).

Figure 1: Histopathological examination of normal pancreas, diabetic and diabetic-treated rats.
Figure (2a): Liver of normal control rats showing the normal histological structure of hepatic lobule (H & E X 400).

Figure (2b): Liver diabetic rats showing focal hepatic necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration (H & E X 400).

Figure (2c): Liver of diabetic rats showing focal hepatic necrosis associated with inflammatory cells infiltration (H & E X 400).

Figure (2d): Liver of diabetic rats showing portal infiltration with inflammatory cells (H & E X 400).

Figure (2e): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with petroleum ether extract showing congestion of central vein (H & E X 400).

Figure (2f): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with petroleum ether extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2g): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with ethyl acetate extract showing portal infiltration with inflammatory cells (H & E X).

Figure (2h): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with ethyl acetate extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2i): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with successive methanolic extract showing sinusoidal leukocytosis (H & E X 400).

Figure (2j): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with successive methanolic extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2k): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with crude methanolic extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2l): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with crude methanolic extract showing no histopathological changes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2m): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with Glibenclamide drug showing cholangitis (H & E X 400).

Figure (2n): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with Glibenclamide drug showing cytoplasmic vacuolization of hepatocytes (H & E X 400).

Figure (2o): Liver of diabetic-treated rats with Glibenclamide drug showing slight dilatation of hepatic sinusoids (H & E X 400).

Figure 2: Histopathological examination of normal liver, diabetic and diabetic- treated rats.
Spectral data of the isolated compounds

Three major compounds were isolated, their purity being checked by comparative PC using solvent systems S1 and S2. These compounds were subjected to physical, chemical, chromatographic and spectral analyses (UV, MS, $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR) as well as comparison with the available reference samples and available published data. Thus confirmed the structures of the compounds as apigenin-7-O-β-rhamnoglucoside (1), luteolin 6-C-β-D-glucopyranoside (2), and quercetin 3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside (3) (Figure 3). These compounds were isolated for the first time from the plant species.

![Diagram of Compound 1](image1)

**Compound (1):** R=R$^1$=R$^2$=H, R$^3$=Rham-gluc

**Compound (2):** R=R$^1$=H, R$^2$=Glc, R$^3$=OH

**Compound (3):** R=O-Glc, R$^2$=R=R$^3$=H, R$^4$=OH

**Figure 3:** Structure of isolated compounds from *Jatropha curcas* leaves

**DISCUSSION**

It’s well known blood glucose homeostasis is controlled by Langerhan’s pancreas endocrine cells of throughout adjusting blood glucose concentration and secretion hormones with opposite effects. Induction of STZ leads to pancreatic β-cells destroy. STZ, a DNA-alkylating agent, causes β-cells destroy by extensive damage of DNA. In diabetic rats in the present results, glucose levels were significantly increased (229.35%), as compared to normal rats. In diabetes, degradation of liver glycogen and gluconeogenesis are increased while glucose utilization is inhibited. Glucose -6- phosphatase increases in the liver, facilitating glucose release into the blood and the opposing enzyme like hexokinase which phosphorlyates glucose is unaffected by insulin while glucokinase is decreased in diabetes. Administration of *J. curcas* successive methanolic extract leads to significant reduction of glucose level (190.39%). Oral administration of 50% ethanolic *J. curcas* extract at 250 mg/kg showed significant reduction in blood glucose level. Methanolic extract of *J. curcas* leaves contained bioactive compounds including, steroids, phenolics and flavonoids. The penolics compounds were previously proved the hypoglycemic effect.

Besides the abnormalities levels of glucose, low serum amylase associated with insulin high level in patients with type 2 diabetes. The present results of diabetic rats revealed that, the level of α-amylase was significantly decreased with percentage change 35.71%. The fall in amylase content either in pancreas or liver may be attributed to the increase secretion or intracellular degradation in vivo and a decreased rate of synthesis. Reduction in amylase enzyme level was found in liver, parotid glands and pancreas during STZ-induction due to the enzyme lower level to the reduction in gene expression of amylase RNA.

The liver produces a large amount of hormones, enzymes, and performs several functions essential to life. Patients with Type 2 diabetes are strongly lying down abnormalities in liver function than non-diabetic individuals, increased activities of AST, ALT and GGT liver enzyme activities are evidence on hepatocellular injury. The recent results indicated that, diabetic rats showed increase in the activities of AST, ALT and ALP with percentages 24.44, 118.75 and 54.08%, respectively. The increase in aminotransferases level may be attributed to the cellular damage in the liver caused by STZ-induced diabetes. Also elevated liver enzyme activities may reflect inflammation, which impairs insulin signaling. In addition, the increment of such serum enzymes may be due to the leakage of these enzymes from the liver cytosol into the blood stream. The present results are in concomitant with the results obtained by Atangw et al. The author recorded high liver enzyme activities; AST and ALT in diabetic rats as compared to normal control rats. Moreover, the increase of ALP enzyme activity in diabetes. However, the present results revealed decrease in the activity of LDH (59.61%) in diabetic rats as compared to normal control rats. Decrease in LDH enzyme activity in diabetic rats was observed and that is in agreement with the results of Cai. Total protein content of diabetic rats showed insignificant change (0.86%) as compared to normal control rats. In contrast, decreased levels of plasma total protein in diabetic rats was found by Murugan and Parth.
(Table 4) were increased in a significant way with percentages change reached to 104.33, 232.80 and 66.99%, respectively. Insulin activates lipoprotein lipase which hydrolyzes triglycerides. Insulin deficiency results in the failure of activate lipase enzyme consequently, causing hyper-triglyceridemia.\textsuperscript{56} Also, insulin deficiency leads to the elevated concentration in plasma free fatty acids as a result of increased free fatty acids outflow from fat depots, where the balance of the free fatty acids estirification, triglycerides lipolysis is displaced in favors of lipolysis.\textsuperscript{56} Significant elevation in lipid profile in serum of diabetic rats was demonstrated by Sethi et al.\textsuperscript{57}

In patients with type 2 diabetes, the ability of insulin to suppress hepatic production of large TG-rich VLDL (VLDL-TGs) leads to an elevation in plasma TG levels.\textsuperscript{58,59} Besides, insulin resistance in skeletal muscle encourages energy conversion from carbohydrate ingestion into increased hepatic triglyceride synthesis, consequently leads to generate large numbers of triglyceride-rich lipoprotein particles, such as very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL).\textsuperscript{60,61}

Treatment of diabetic rats with \textit{J. curcas} extracts revealed improvement in lipid profile. Where, crude methanolic extract for example showed significant decrease in the level of TG with percentage of improvement 164.98%. While, all extracts of \textit{J. curcas} showed significant increase in TC with percentages of improvement in petroleum ether, ethyl acetate, successive and crude methanolic extracts reached to 85.70, 105.53, 33.26 and 66.73%, respectively. Ethyl acetate and successive methanolic extracts showed significant decrease in TL levels with percentages of improvement 107.96 and 103.21%, respectively. This may be explained on the basis of \textit{J. curcas} oil seed cake possessed hypolipidaemic effect and these properties could be attributed to the presence of dietary fibers, phenolic compounds and storage proteins on oil seed cake.\textsuperscript{52} Also, the correction of insulin level induced by plants causing a regulation of carbohydrate and lipids metabolism by inhibition of lipolysis through inhibition of hormone sensitive lipases activity in adipose tissue and suppresses the release of free fatty acids causing stimulation of lipogenesis.\textsuperscript{53}

Oxygen-derived free radicals (ROS) are observed implicating in the pathophysiology of different disease, including diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{64} In DM, the oxidative stress can be resulted from the increased production of free radicals with/or a marked reduction of antioxidant defenses.\textsuperscript{54} The direct toxicity of NO is enhanced by its reacting with superoxide radical to give secondary toxic oxidizing species, such as peroxyxirite (ONOO) which is capable of oxidizing cellular structure and causes lipid peroxidation.\textsuperscript{66} In diabetic rats, NO and MDA levels were significantly increased with percentages 105.20 and 56.41%, respectively. Treatment of diabetic rats with different extracts of \textit{J. curcas} as well as Glibenclamide standard drug showed normalization in GSH, NO and MDA levels as compared to normal control rats. However, successive methanolic extract showed the highest percentages of improvement in GSH and MDA levels reached to 47.96 and 51.28%, respectively.

Methanolic fraction of \textit{J. curcas} (MFJC) treatment reversed the increase in lipid peroxide condition induced by Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) near to normal levels.\textsuperscript{67} In accordance with these results; MFJC could protect liver against the AFB1-induced oxidative damage in rats.\textsuperscript{68} STZ selectively induces degenerative alterations and necrosis of pancreatic β-cells resulting in insulin deficiency and impairment in glucose oxidation.\textsuperscript{69} Treatment of \textit{J. curcas} extracts showed enhancement in islet cell regeneration in diabetic rats and amelioration in both pancreas and hepatic architectures that apparent normal. The phytochemical screening of \textit{J. curcas} leaves extracts possessed the presence of bioactive compounds including flavonoids, saponins, alkaloids, steroids and tannins.\textsuperscript{70} Rutin (flavonoid compound) has antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects that lead to reduction of blood glucose level in the STZ-induced diabetic rats besides, functionally and formatively protection of pancreas, heart, liver, kidney, and retina tissues that attributed to diabetic complications.\textsuperscript{71} Thus, the protective effect of \textit{J. curcas} leaves may be related to the presence of flavonoid compounds that lead to reducing the oxidative stress consequently, normalize hepatic and pancreas tissues structures and functions.

Flavonoids produce antidiabetic effect throughout many ways and have a wide range of biological activities, including antiallergic, antibacterial, antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, antiviral, anti-proliferative, anti-mutagenic, antithrombotic, anticarcinogenic, hepatoprotective, estrogenic, insecticidal, and antioxidant activities.\textsuperscript{72} Moreover, the antidiabetic effect of flavonoids from \textit{Dracaena cochinchinensis} (Asparagaceae) which possessed a potential hypoglycemic activity, in addition to, relieving dyslipidemia, tissue steatosis, and oxidative stress associated with T2DM.\textsuperscript{73}

A novel biflavone di-C-glucoside, 6,6′-di-C-β-D-glucopyranoside-methylene-(8,8″)-biapigenin, was isolated from the leaves of \textit{J. curcas} together with the flavonoid glycosides; apigenin 7-O-β-D-neohesperidoside, apigenin 7-O- β-D-galactoside, orientin, vitexin, vicenin II as well as a flavonoid aglycone; apigenin by Abd-Alla et al.\textsuperscript{74}

Phytochemical investigation of the bioactive methanol fraction extract of \textit{J. curcas} leaves had afforded flavonoid glycosides (1-3). Apigenin-7-O-β-rhamnoglucoside and luteolin 6-C-β-D-glucopyranoside had possessed antidiabetic and antihyperlidelmic activity.\textsuperscript{75,76} Apigenin-8-C-β-D-glucopyranoside and quercetin 3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside had also antidiabetic activity.\textsuperscript{77,78} Therefore, the antidiabetic and antioxidant activities of \textit{J. curcas} may be attributed to the presence of these flavonoids. From the previous results it can be concluded that, all extracts of \textit{J. curcas} showed matched
ameliorative percentages related to their bioactive constituents.

CONCLUSION

The present results confirmed that *J. curcas* leaves are rich in phytochemical compounds and the anti-hyperglycemic characters of its extracts may provide promising supplements and neurtaceutical with a strong cure for diabetes.
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