



Comorbidity and Mortality Rate Analysis in Geriatric Population Using Charlson Comorbidity Index

Susan K. Thottam*, Theresa Alphonsa Jose, Mohammed Firdous K.P, Sona Jose, Veeresh Babu D Department of Pharmacy practice, Shree Devi College of Pharmacy, Mangaluru, Karnataka, India. *Corresponding author's E-mail: susanthottam@gmail.com

Received: 20-06-2019; Revised: 28-07-2019; Accepted: 05-08-2019.

ABSTRACT

Majority of the Indian population is elderly, but we have limited studies conducted on geriatric population. They are often presented with comorbid conditions. Mortality rate of geriatric patients can be considered as an evaluating factor of Indian medical science. Different parameters can be used to predict the mortality rate. This study has used the Charlson Comorbidity Index to predict the mortality rate in geriatric population and has checked its validity in geriatric south Indian population. Study was conducted on a total of 220 geriatric populations with comorbid condition. Maximum of nine comorbid condition was seen in a single patient. More patients were presented with four number of comorbidity (29%) followed by two number of comorbidities (25%). Out of 220 patients 44 (20%) deaths were reported within six months. The Charlson Comorbidity Index is a well-validated research methodology to assess one year mortality, but Kaplan Meier plot in this study exhibits a slight variation from the index. However, cumulative survival of high index population was with accordance with Charlson comorbidity index. The study also revealed that it is statistically significant to divide population according to Charlson comorbidity index.

Keywords: Geriatric, Comorbidity, Mortality rate, Charlson Comorbidity Index, Kaplan Meier.

INTRODUCTION

ndia's geriatric population is sizeable than the total population of many developed and developing nations. But despite this the branch of medicine for geriatrics is very new in India and not in sufficient numbers and with little knowledge as there are no sufficient number of studies carried out among geriatric populations. 2011 World Health Statistics report says 7% of total population is elderly, i.e. 83 million persons in India are 60 years and above. Studies say that in coming four decades there may be dramatic shift of Indian population from young to an aging population. ^{1, 2, 3}

Geriatric population indicates a successful growth of medical science. Life expectancy also reflects social, economic and wellbeing of the population. Chronic medical conditions are considered to be the leading cause of death among geriatric population both in rural and urban region. Aging results in various physiologic changes making them more susceptible for various medical chronic conditions and drug induced issues leading to increased mortality rate. ^{1, 4-8}

Different studies conducted in various parts of the world has taken various parameters like BMI, life style, nutritional status etc., to check their association with mortality rate in geriatric patients.^{7, 9} This study had used comorbid condition and patients age for predicting the mortality rate, with the help of Charlson comorbidity index. Charlson et al, has developed Charlson Comorbidity Index, which scores comorbid conditions and age of the patient and hence determine a one year mortality rate in patients. This Index was later validated in Breast cancer patients. $^{\rm 10,\,11}$ The Kaplan – Meier plot had been used which is the simplest way of analyzing the survival over time. $^{\rm 12,\,13}$

AIM

- To evaluate the prevalence of comorbidities in geriatric population.
- To predict the mortality rate of geriatric population using Charlson Comorbidity Index.
- To analyse the reliability of Charlson Comorbidity Index for assessing mortality rate in geriatric patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Duration

A prospective observational study was carried out for a period of 6 months (from December 2017 to June 2018). The prevalence of comorbid condition and the ability of Charlson Comorbidity to assess mortality rate among geriatric population was analysed.

Study Site and Study Population

Study was carried out on geriatric inpatients in the department of General Medicine at Yenepoya Medical College hospital, Mangaluru. The proposed study was approved by Institutional Ethics Committee (ICE) of the same institution.

Patients of 65 years and above with multimorbid condition, who were willing to participate were included in the study.



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

Sample Size

It was calculated using the G-POWER software with level of significance α =5%, power β =80%, with 95% confidence interval. The minimum sample size required for the study was 220.

Study Protocol and Data Analysis

A patient information sheet was given to the patients in their native language and informed consent was obtained from the patient and/or care givers. Patients above 65 years of age with comorbid condition were selected for the study. Data was collected through review of case sheets of the study population admitted in department of General The data collected included Medicine patient demographics and comorbid conditions. Charlson score was calculated for each patients by adding the individual score assigned to each disease diagnosed in the patients and for the age group. The resulted Charlson comorbidity index was used to divide the population and to predict the mortality for a patient who may have a range of comorbid conditions. The patients were followed for a period of 6 months to obtain their health status. Death reports were collected from Medical Record Department (MRD) or else through telephone interactions. The patients were presumed to be alive if deaths were not confirmed. Both confirmed alive and presumed alive were classified as alive for the purpose of analysis. The data was recorded in Microsoft Excel worksheet and analysed by using statistical package for social science (SPSS software).

RESULTS

Out of 220 geriatric patients admitted in the hospital during study period, 139 (63%) were males and 81 (36%) were females. The patients were divided into 3 groups on the basis of their age as young old (65-74 years), middle old (75-84 years) and old old (\geq 85 years). Mean age of patients was 70.72 ± 5.42 years. Age distribution revealed that 174 (79%) of patients were young old, followed by 39 (17%) in middle old and 7 (3%) in old old group. Mean age of young old, middle old and old old were found to be 67.73 ± 2.79, 77.85 ± 2.16 and 86 ± 1.82 respectively. The maximum age was found to be 90 years.



Figure 1: Flow chart for methodology. (CCI – Charlson Comorbidity Index, MRD – Medical Records Department)





			No. of Comorbidities					Tatal		
			2 3 4 5 6					7	9	Iotal
Age group	Y	Count	43	43	49	23	13	2	1	174
		% within Age group	24.7	24.7	28.2	13.2	7.5	1.1	0.6	100 %
		% within No. of Comorbidities	76.8	78.2	76.6	92.0	76.5	100	100	79.1 %
	Μ	Count	9	11	14	1	4	0	0	39
		% within Age group	23.1	28.2	35.9	2.6	10.3	0.0	0.0	100 %
		% within No. of Comorbidities	16.1	20.0	21.9	4.0	23.5	0.0	0.0	17.7%
	0	Count	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	7
		% within Age group	57.1	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	100 %
		% within No. of Comorbidities	7.1%	1.8%	1.6%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Total		Count	56	55	64	25	17	2	1	220
		% within Age group	25.5	25.0	29.1	11.4	7.7	0.9	0.5	100 %
		% within No. of Comorbidities	100.0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100%

Table 1: Age group and no. of comorbidities cross tabulation. (Y- young old, M – middle old, O –old old)

Patients with minimum 2 diagnosis were enrolled into the study and maximum number of diagnosis seen was 9. Maximum patients (29%) were presented with 4 diagnosis followed by patients with 2 diagnosis (25%).[Figure: 2] Maximum number of patients belonged to male young old group with two comorbid conditions and among females frequency of young old with three comorbid conditions was more. There is no association between age groups and no. of comorbidities as Chi-Square Test P value was not statistically significant (P value = 0.661> 0.05). [Table: 1]

No. of Comorbidities						Tetal			
		2	3	4	5	6	7	9	Iotal
Gender	F Count	13	21	31	7	7	1	1	81
	% within Gender	16.0	25.9	38.3	8.6	8.6	1.2	1.2	100%
	% within No. of omorbidities	23.2	38.2	48.4	28.0	41.2	50.0	100	36.8%
	M Count	43	34	33	18	10	1	0	139
	% within Gender	30.9	24.5	23.7	12.9	7.2	0.7	0.0	100 %
	% within No. Of Comorbidities	76.8	61.8	51.6	72.0	58.8	50.0	0.0	63.2%
Total	Count	56	55	64	25	17	2	1	220
	% within Gender	25.5	25.0	29.1	11.4	7.7	0.9	0.5	100 %
	% within No. of Comorbidities	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100 %

Table 2: Gender and No. of comorbidities cross tabulation (F - female, M - male)

There is no association between gender and no. of comorbidities as Chi-Square Test-P value is not statistically significant. (P value = 0.087 > 0.05) [Table: 2]

Among 220 patients, majority of them were diagnosed with hypertension (70%), followed by diabetes mellitus (DM) (53%), COPD (34%), and ischemic heart disease (IHD) (30%). [Table 3] Sample population was divided on the basis of Charlson Comorbidity Index into low (n = 6) moderate (n =78) and high (n = 136). Total 44 deaths were reported. Maximum deaths were reported from group high (34) followed by group moderate (9) and from group low 1 (Figure:3). There is a statistically significant difference of mortality rate between three groups (P < 0.05) which confirms that the survival distributions of the different indexed groups are not equal in the study population (i.e., they are not all the same). [Figure 3 & Table 4]



Figure 3: Charlson Comorbidity Index and mortality relationship (No. of events = No of deaths)

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

Table 3: Major diagnosis					
Major Diagnosis	No. of Patients	Percentage (%)			
Hypertension	154	70			
Diabetes mellitus	117	53.18			
COPD	76	34.54			
Ischemic heart disease	68	30.90			
Renal failure	52	23.64			
LRTI	23	10.45			
Cerebral vascular accident	21	9.55			
Anaemia	20	9.09			
Benign prostate hypertrophy	19	8.64			
Urinary tract infection	16	7.27			
Pulmonary tuberculosis	12	5.45			
Osteoarthritis	12	5.45			
Cancer	9	4.09			
Rheumatoid arthritis	8	3.63			
CLD	7	3.18			
Thyroid disorder	7	3.18			
Sepsis	6	2.72			
Gastritis	5	2.27			
Seizure	5	2.27			
Lumbar spondylitis	5	2.27			
GERD	4	1.81			
Parkinsonism	4	1.81			
Myocardial infarction	4	1.81			
Dementia	3	1.36			
Psychotic conditions	2	0.9			

5. Major ulagriosis	3:	Major	diagnosis	5
----------------------------	----	-------	-----------	---

Table 4: Means for survival time (a. Estimation is limited to the largest survival time if it is censored.)

Index	Mean ^a						
	Estimate	Std. Error	Lower Bound	Upper Bound			
low	5.833	.152	5.535	6.132			
Middle	5.949	.033	5.885	6.013			
High	5.324	.117	5.094	5.553			
Overall	5.559	.076	5.410	5.708			

Cumulative survival proportion appears to be little higher in the middle group compared to the low index groups. Survival distribution of the different types of index are not equal in the study population. However, if we inspect the curve's last cumulative survival proportion, we can see that the proportion of participants that had not died by the end of the study does not appear that different between the intervention groups. [Figure: 4 and Table: 4]



Figure 4: Kaplan-Meier plot

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

DISCUSSION

Demographic characteristics showed male а predominance (63.18%) and female patients contributes only 36.82%. Similar male predominance was seen in studies conducted by Chitra B et al¹⁴, Ahluwalia C S et al¹⁵ and Salwe J K et al¹⁶. We divided the patients into 3 groups on the basis of their age as young old (65-74 years), middle old (75-84 years) and old old (≥ 85 years). Mean age of patients was 70.72 ± 5.42 years. Majority of the patients falls in young old age group (79%) followed by middle old (17%) and old old age group (3%). Male young old predominance was observed in this study. Chitra B et al¹⁴ and Salwe J K et al¹⁶ had a similar age distribution of our study, whereas in Ahluwalia C S et al¹⁵, a middle old prevalence of geriatric patients was observed.

A large number of patients (29%, n=64) were presented with 4 comorbid conditions, followed by 2 comorbid conditions (25.45%, n =56) and 3 comorbid conditions (25%, n =55). Similar results were shown by studies conducted by Ahluwalia C S et al¹⁵ and Rakesh B K et al¹⁷. The study conducted by Raut A et al⁴ concluded a predominance of 2 comorbid conditions. Nine and more comorbidities were rarely reported in all studies. Chi-Square test revealed that there is no association with age group and gender with number of comorbidities.

The elderly population suffers from numerous chronic disorders. In this study, major diagnosis observed were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, COPD, ischemic heart disease, renal failure, LRTI, cerebral vascular accident, anaemia, benign prostrate hypertrophy, urinary tract infection, pulmonary tuberculosis, osteoarthritis, cancer etc. Hypertension was most commonly observed among the study population. Major diagnosis was compared with other studies conducted by Chitra B et al¹⁴, Raut A et al⁴ and Rakesh B et al¹⁷ which revealed that hypertension and diabetes mellitus was more prevalent in geriatric population.

On the basis of Charlson comorbidity index, we divided the sample population into low (6), moderate (78) and high (136). At the end of study, 44 (20%) deaths were reported. Maximum deaths were reported from group high. Log rank test (mantel -cox) test was done considering there is no difference in the overall survival distributions between the index group in the population as null hypothesis .The chi square value (x2) obtained was 6.567 with 2 degree of freedom, P value = 0.038 < 0.05which is statistically significant and can conclude that the survival distribution of the different types of the index are not equal in the study population (i.e. cohorts are dissimilar). Murray B S et al¹⁰ conducted a study to associate Charlson index with one year mortality in emergency department (ED) patients and the study showed that the log-rank test for difference between the groups was statistically significant (p < 0.001). They concluded that the Charlson index was a wellestablished method to assess comorbid illness burden and to predict one-year mortality for an ED patients with suspected infection.

The Kaplan-Meier plot compares survival distribution between groups. The plot obtained showed a slight deviation from Charlson comorbidity index i.e., cumulative survival proportion appears to be little higher in the moderate group compared to low index group. On the other hand, cumulative survival of high index group was with accordance with Charlson comorbidity index. The last cumulative survival proportion of each curves showed that the proportion of participants that had not died by the end of the study does not appear that different between the intervention group.

CONCLUSION

Prevalence of multimorbid condition is very common in geriatric population, who constitute majority of the Indian population. The study concluded male to female ratio was high in geriatric inpatients. The major reasons for hospital admission were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and ischemic heart disease. The Charlson index is a well- validated research methodology to assess one year mortality, but Kaplan Meier plot in this study exhibits a slight variation from the index. However, cumulative survival of high index population was with accordance with Charlson Comorbidity Index. The study also revealed that it is statistically significant to divide population according to Charlson Comorbidity Index.

REFERENCES

- 1. Evans JM, Kiran PR, Bhattacharyya OK, Activating the knowledge-to-action cycle for geriatric care in India, Health research policy and systems, 2011 Dec, 42.
- 2. WHO: World health statistics 011.[http://www.who.int/whosis/whostat/2011.
- 3. James K, India's demographic change: opportunities and challenges, Science, 333(6042), 2011, 576-580.
- 4. Raut A, Vohra F, Surve R, Pawar A, A study of prescribing pattern, comorbidities, and cost analysis in elderly hospitalized patients in Pune, CHRISMED Journal of Health and Research, 2016 Jul, 191-196.
- Patel V, Chatterji S, Chisholm D, Ebrahim S, Gopalakrishna G, Mathers C, Mohan V, Prabhakaran D, Ravindran R, Reddy K, India: towards universal health coverage 3, chronic diseases and injuries in India. The Lancet, 2011, 413-428.
- Joshi R, Cardona M, Iyengar S, Sukumar A, Raju C, Raju K, Raju K, Reddy K, Lopez A, Neal B, Chronic diseases now a leading cause of death in rural India - mortality data from the Andhra Pradesh Rural Health Initiative, International Journal of Epidemiology 2006, 1522-1529.
- Incalzi RA, Capparella O, Gemma A, Landi F, Bruno E, Di Meo F, Carbonin P, The interaction between age and comorbidity contributes to predicting the mortality of geriatric patients in the acute-care hospital, Journal of internal medicine, 1997 Oct, 291-298.
- Ho JY, Hendi AS. Recent trends in life expectancy across high income countries: retrospective observational study, BMJ, 2018 Aug, 1 – 13.



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

- Persson MD, Brismar KE, Katzarski KS, Nordenström J, Cederholm TE, Nutritional status using mini nutritional assessment and subjective global assessment predict mortality in geriatric patients, Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2002 Dec, 1996-2002.
- 10. Murray SB, Bates DW, Ngo L, Ufberg JW, Shapiro NI, Charlson Index is associated with one-year mortality in emergency department patients with suspected infection, Academic Emergency Medicine. 2006 May, 530-536.
- 11. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie CR, A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: development and validation, Journal of chronic diseases, 1987 Jan 373-83.
- 12. Goel MK, Khanna P, Kishore J, Understanding survival analysis: Kaplan-Meier estimate, International journal of Ayurveda research, 2010 Oct, 274.
- 13. Altman DG. Analysis of survival times, Practical statistics for medical research, 1991, 365-393.

- 14. Chitra B, Senthilvel N, Sowmya R, Sathyan S, Srisha R, A Study on Prescribing Pattern of Drugs in Geriatrics Using Beers, International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, 2015, 4810–4825.
- 15. Ahluwalia SC, Gross CP, Chaudhry SI, Ning YM, Leosummers L, Ness PH Van, Fried RT, Impact of Comorbidity on Mortality Among Older Persons with Advanced Heart Failure, Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2011,513– 519.
- 16. Salwe KJ, Kalyansundaram D, Bahurupi Y, A study on polypharmacy and potential drug-drug interactions among elderly patients admitted in department of medicine of a tertiary care hospital in puducherry Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research, 2016, 6 10.
- Rakesh KB, Chowta MN, Shenoy AK, Shastry R, Pai SB, Evaluation of polypharmacy and appropriateness of prescription in geriatric patients, A cross-sectional study at a tertiary care hospital. Indian journal of pharmacology, 2017 Jan, 16 - 20.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.

