Review Article



Efficient Diagnosis and Management of Acute Appendicitis

Dr. R. Senthil Selvi^{1*}, Christeena James², Froja AT², Muhammed Noufal T², Rajarajan S¹

1. Professor, Department of Pharmacy Practice, The Erode College of Pharmacy, Erode, Tamilnadu, India.

Pharm.D Students, Department of Pharmacy Practice, The Erode College of Pharmacy, Erode, Tamilnadu, India.
 *Corresponding author's E-mail: senthilselvi65@gmail.com

Received: 18-07-2019; Revised: 26-08-2019; Accepted: 04-09-2019.

ABSTRACT

Appendicitis is a transmural inflammatory process and acute inflammation of appendix in adults and children with a lifetime risk of 8.6% in males and 6.7% in females. Abdominal rigidity, right lower quadrant pain and periumbilical pain radiating to the right lower quadrant are the best signs for acute appendicitis in adults. Appendectomy for acute appendicitis is an effective, universally accepted procedure the non-operative management of appendicitis remains largely unexplored. Alvarado scoring system (MANTRELS criteria) is a clinical scoring system used in diagnosis of appendicitis. The score has six clinical items and two laboratory measurements with total ten points. A clinical classification is used to stratify management based on non-perforated and perforated inflammation. Perforated appendicitis has significantly higher rates of morbidity and mortality. Ultrasound and CTscans are the most widely used imaging techniques. Intravenous antibiotics may be considered as first line therapy in selected patients. Pain control with opioids, NSAIDs and acetaminophen should be a priority and does not result in unnecessary intervention. Prolonged duration of symptoms before surgical intervention raises the risk.

Keywords: Appendicitis, appendectomy, diagnosis, pain management, antibiotic therapy.

INTRODUCTION

ppendicitis is a transmural inflammatory process and acute inflammation of appendix in adults and children with a lifetime risk of 8.6% in males and 6.7% in females¹. Appendicitis is thought to be caused by luminal obstruction from various etiology, leading to increased mucus production and bacterial overgrowth, resulting in wall tension and eventually, necrosis and potential perforation². It is the inflammation of vermiform appendix. This is the hollow organ located at the tip of cecum, usually in the right lower quadrant of the abdomen³. Several diagnostic scoring systems for acute appendicitis have been described⁴.

The most commonly used methods are the Alvarado score and appendicitis inflammatory response score both these methods increase the diagnostic accuary⁵. CT is the more accurate for detecting inflammation of appendix especially in obese patient⁶. Ultrasound is useful to confirm appendicitis, particularly in patient with limited abdominal fat⁷. Laparoscopic appendectomy is the current "state of the art", used in up to 86%. Open appendectomy is safe and effective, showing higher rates in negative appendectomy and limitations in abdominal exploration⁸. Most treatment protocols include an initial cause of intravenous antibiotics for 1-3 days followed by oral antibiotics for 7 days⁹. Approximately 90% of patients treated with antibiotics are able to avoid surgery during the initial admission¹⁰. The other 10% that fail to respond to antibiotics require a rescue appendectomy¹¹.

Objective

This review literature is a detailed study of appendicitis including their efficient diagnosis, treatment, pain management and prescribing pattern of antibiotics in appendicitis.

Epidemology

Appendicitis is most frequently seen in the second decade of life and occurs slightly more often in males than in females^{12,13}. The rate of non-perforated appendicitis is much higher in men than in women¹³. In neonates and infants appendicitis is rare¹⁴. The overall lifetime risk of developing acute appendicitis is 8.6% for males and 6.7% for females; Life time risk of appendectomy is around 12% in males and 23% in females^{15,16}.

Clinical Evaluation

Diagnosing acute appendicitis is accurately and efficiently can reduce morbidity and mortality from perforation and other complications. The presence of signs and symptoms may help to rule in a diagnosis of appendicitis, but the absence of clinical findings often does not exclude its possibilities¹⁷. The signs and symptoms that best rule in acute appendicitis in adults are right lower quadrant pain, abdominal rigidity, and radiation of periumbilical pain to the right lower quaradant¹⁸. In children, absent or decreased bowel sounds, a positive psoas sign, positive obturator sign and appositive rovsing sign are most relievable for ruling in acute appendicitis.¹⁹

Alvarado scoring system (MANTRELS criteria) is a clinical scoring system used in diagnosis of appendicitis. The score has six clinical items and two laboratory measurements



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

with total ten points, based on signs, symptoms and diagnostic test in patient with suspected acute appendicitis (Table 1). The Alvarado score enables risk stratification in patients presenting with abdominal pain, linking the probability of appendicitis to recommendations' regarding discharge, observation or surgical intervension²⁰.

Table 1: Probability of appendicitis by the Alvarado score 20 risk strata and subsequent clinical management strategy



Laboratory Testing

Patient history and physical exam findings, certain laboratory and imaging studies can be useful in conforming the diagnosis of appendicitis. A WBC count with differential is helpful in both diagnosis and exclusion of appendicitis. Appendicitis often leads to moderate leucocytosis with neutrophillia²¹. A C-reactive protein (CRP) level was much greater in patients with ruptured appendicitis compared with that in patients with normal appendix²². Abnormal laboratory findings cannot reliably deliver a diagnosis of acute appendicitis²³.

Imaging Technique

Ultrasonography, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging are options for the evaluation of patients with suspected acute appendicitis. Positive results on CT or ultrasound that is, inflammation and distension of the appendix or free fluid in the abdomen are associated with confirmed appendicitis more than 90% of the time¹³. Overweight or obese patient more likely to undergo CT initially because ultrasonography is more likely to be non diagnostic in these groups^{24,25}. Additionally the cost, availability, length of the test and radiation exposure associated with CT has raised concern about this imaging choice. Ultrasound is useful to confirm appendicitis,

particularly in patients with limited abdominal fat, but it has limitations in ruling out the conditions⁷.

These include its operator-dependent nature, limited availability to allow visualisation of the appendix in obese patients and lack of sensitivity in cases in which the appendix is perforated²⁶. Imaging studies can be helpful when diffentiating between complicated versus uncomplicated appendicitis and ruling out other cause of the acute appendicitis. Alternatively, observation is essential until the diagnosis becomes clearer or laparoscopic surgeries have been used to evaluate the acute abdomen²⁷.

In atypical cases ultrasonography and CT may help lower the rate of false-negative appendicitis diagnosis, reduce morbidity from perforation, and lower hospital expense, the accuracy rate of CT scan is 93%-98%²⁸.

MANAGEMENT

Antibiotic therapy

Antibiotic therapy may be considered a first line and possibly sole therapy in selected patients with uncomplicated appendicitis.



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

Selected Antibiotics and Dosage for Surgical Prophylaxis and Antibiotic Therapy of Complicated Appendicitis ⁶²⁻⁶⁵			
	Medication	Pediatric dosing	Adult dosing
Prophylaxis	Cefoxitin	20 – 40 mg/kg	1 –2 g IV
	Cefotetan	-	1 – 2 g IV
	Cefotaxime	25 – 50 mg/kg	-
	Ampicillin/sulbactam	—	3 g IV
Penicillin- allergic:	Metronidazole	10 mg/kg/d	500 mg IV
	plus gentamicin	2 mg/kg/d	2 mg/kg
Treatment	Piperacillin/tazobactam	200 – 300 mg/kg/d divided for administration every 6 – 8 h	3.375 g/6 h
	Ceftriaxone	50 – 75 mg/kg/d, divided for administration every 12 – 24 h	1 – 2 g/12 to 24 h
	plus metronidazole	30 – 40 mg/kg/d, divided for administration every 8 h	500 mg/8 to 12 h or 1500 mg/24 h
Penicillin- allergic:	Metronidazole	30 – 40 mg/kg/d, divided for administration every 8 h	500 mg/8 to 12 h or 1500 mg/24 h
	plus gentamicin	3 – 7.5 mg/kg/d, divided for administration every 2 – 4 h	5 – 7 mg/kg/24 h

(Table 2)

Sources: Bucher et al. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2011³⁰; American Society of Health-Systems Pharmacists. Am J Health Syst Pharm. 1999³¹; Treat Guidel Med Lett. 2009³²; Solomkin et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2010.³³

Antibiotic treatment resulted in a decreased the rate of complication, disability and less need for pain medication. The first dose should be given within 60minutes before the incision is made to achieve adequate antibiotic serum and tissue levels. The antibiotic should be discontinued 24 hours after the surgery has been completed ^{29,30}. After intravenous antibiotic therapy, an interval appendectomy can be performed 4-6week later. In patient with uncomplicated appendicitis, the antibiotic of choice should be effective against the gram negative- bacilli³⁰. A single dose of cefozitin, cefotetan, cefotaxime or ampicillin is typically prescribed to prevent post surgical site infection in patient with uncomplicated appendicitis (Table 2)^{31,32}. For betalactom allergic patients, an alternative antibiotic regimen is metronidazole with an aminoglycoside³³.

Surgery

Laparoscopic appendectomy is more widely used than open appendectomy. Appendectomy, the inflamed appendix is surgically removed. The traditional surgical approach involves a small incision in the right lower abdominal wall³⁴. Laparoscopic appendectomy requires three very small incisions. The surgeon then introduces a camera and some instruments in to the abdomen and removes the appendix as in the convectional operation³⁵. Compared with open appendectomy, laparoscopic appendectomy resulted in lower risk of wound infection, fewer post operative complication, shorter length of stay, and a faster return to activity, but a longer operation time³⁶.

Pain management

The post operative study showed that the use of opioids did not significantly increase the risk of delayed or unnecessary surgery in children and adults with acute abdominal pain³⁷.NSAIDs and acetaminophen should be considered for pain management in patients with suspected acute appendicitis, especially in those with contraindications to opioids³⁸.

Complication

Perforation is the most concerning complication of acute appendicitis may lead to abscesses, peritonitis, bowel obstructions, fertility issues and sepsis³⁹. Appendiceal perforation was more common in male patients⁴⁰. Surgical site infection, wound dehiscence and pelvic abscess where the most common complication⁴¹. The incidence of perforated appendicitis is higher in the extreme of ages⁴². Perforation rates among adults ranges from 17%-32%³⁹. A prospective observational study show that 6% of children with perforated appendicitis where treated with antibiotics for suspected sepsis, even after surgery⁴³. Based on the study risk factors for perforation includes fever vomiting, longer duration of symptoms, elevated WBC count⁴⁰.

Post-Operative Care

The severity of the patients pains needs to be assessed with the use of pain scale. Appropriate pain relief can then we administered. In most cases the patient will be discharged when their temperature is normal and their bowels have to started to function again. Adequate pain control, advancement of diet and monitoring for development of complication constitute typical postoperative care.

CONCLUSION

Appendicitis that is prevalent in the developed world and have minimal complications. The diagnosis of appendicitis has been based on clinical finding, diagnostic imaging. cause by reducing the delay in diagnosis of appendicitis and its associated. The standard of care is appendectomy; there for a surgical consult is needed. Recent evidence suggests that a non-surgical, antibiotic approach in the treat of uncomplicated appendicitis may be beneficial.

REFERENCES

 1. Birnbaum
 BA,
 Wilson
 SR,
 Appendicitis at the millennium,

 Radiology,
 215(2),
 2000
 May,
 337-348,

 DOI:10.1148/radiology.215.2.r00ma24337,
 PMID:10796905.

2. Jaschinski T, Mosch C, Eikermann M, Neugebauer EA, Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in patients with suspected appendicitis: a systematic review of meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials, 15:48, 2015 Apr 15, doi: 10.1186/s12876-015-0277-3.

3. Mandeville K, Monuteaux M, Pottker T, BullochB,Effects of timing to diagnosis and appendectomy in pediatric appendicitis, Pediatr Emerg Care, 31(11), 2015 Nov, 753 - 758, doi:10.1097/PEC.00000000000596.

4. Vaos G, Dimopoulou A, Gkioka E, Zavras N, Immediate surgery or conservative treatment for complicated acute appendicitis in



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

children A meta-analysis, JPediatrSurg, 54(7), 2019 Jul, 1365-1371, doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2018.07.017

5. Pickhardt PJ, Lawrence EM, Pooler D, Bruce RJ,Diagnostic performance of multidetector computed tomography for suspected acute appendicitis, ;154(12), 2011 Jun 21, 789-96, doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-154-12-201106210-00006.

6. Coursey CA, Nelson RC, Moreno RD,Patel MB, Beam CA, Vaslef S, Appendicitis, body mass index, and CT: is CT more valuable for obese patients than thin patients,Am Surg, 77(4), 2011 Apr,471-475.

7. Fox JC, Solley M, Anderson CL, Zlidenny A, Lahham S, Maasumi K, Prospective evaluation of emergency physician performed bedside ultrasound to detect acute appendicitis, Eur J Emerg Med, 15(2), 2008 Apr, 80-5, doi: 10.1097/MEJ.0b013e328270361a.

8.ErikssonS, GranstromL, Randomized controlled trial of appendicetomy versus antibiotic therapy for acute appendicitis, Br J surg, 82(2), 1995 Feb, 166-9 , DOI:10.1002/bjs.1800820207, PMID:7749676.

9. Styrud J, Eriksson S, Nilsson I, Ahlberg G, Haapaniemi S, Neovius G, Rex L, Badume I, Granström L, Appendectomy versus antibiotic treatment in acute appendicitis. A prospective multicenter randomized controlled trail, World J Surg, 30(6), 2006Jun, 1033-1037, DOI:10.1007/s00268-005-0304-6, PMID:16736333

10. Hansson J, Körner U, Khorram-Manesh A, Solberg A, Lundholm K, Randomozied clinical trail of antibiotic therapy versus appendectomy as primary treatment of acute appendicitis in unselected patient, Br J Surg, 96(5), 2009 May, 473-481, doi: 10.1002/bjs.6482.

11. Turhan AN, Kapan S, Kütükçü E, Yiğitbaş H, Hatipoğlu S, Aygün E, Comparison of operative and non-operative management of acute appendicitis, UlusTravmaAcilCerrahiDerg, 15(5), 2009 Sep, 459-462.

12. Marudanayagam R, Williams GT, Rees BI, Review of the pathological results of 2660 appendicectomy specimens, J Gastroenterol,41(8), 2006 Aug,745-9.

13. Lee SL, Ho HS, Acute appendicitis.is there a difference between children and adults, Am Surg, 5(72), 2006, 409-413.

14. Bundy DG, Byerley JS, Liles EA, Perrin EM, Katznelson J, Rice HE, Does this childhave appendicitis, *JAMA*, 298(4) 2007 Jul , 438-451.

15. Addiss DG, Shaffer N, Fowler BS, TauxeRV, The epidemiology of appendicitis and appendectomy in the United States. Am J Epidemiol, 132(5), 1990 Nov, 910-25, DOI:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115734, PMID:2239906.

16. Korner H, Sondenaa K, Soreide JA, Andersen E, Nysted A, Lende TH, Kjellevold KHIncidence of nonperforated and perforated appendicitis: age-specific and sex-specific analysis, World J Surg, 21(3) 1997Mar-Ap,313-317, DOI:<u>10.1007/s002689900235</u>, . PMID:9015177.

17. Bickell NA, Aufses AH Jr, Rojas M, BodianC, How time affects the risk of rupture in appendicitis, J Am Coll Surg, 202(3), 2006 Mar, 401-406, DOI:<u>10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2005.11.016</u>, PMID:16500243.

18. Wagner JM, McKinney WP, Carpenter JL, Does this patient have appendicitis, JAMA, 276(19), 1996 Nov, 1589-1594, PMID:8918857.

19. Benabbas R, Hanna M, Shah J, Sinert R, Diagnostic accuracy of history, physical examination, laboratory tests, and point-of-care ultrasound forpediatric acute appendicitis in the emergency department: a systematicreview and meta-analysis, AcadEmerg Med, 24(5), 2017May, 523-551, doi: 10.1111/acem.13181.

20. Alvarado A, A practical score for the early diagnosis of acuteappendicitis, Ann Emerg Med, 15(5), 1986May, 557-64, DOI,10.1016/s0196-0644(86)80993-3, PMID:3963537.

21. Howell JM, Eddy OL, Lukens TW, Thiessen ME, Weingart SD, Decker WW, Clinical policy:critical issues in the evaluation and management ofemergency department patients with suspected appendicitis, Ann Emerg Med, 55(1), 2010Jan, 71-116, doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2009.10.004. PMID:20116016.

22. Grönroos JM, Grönroos P, Leucocyte count and C-reactive protein in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis, Br J Surg, 86(4), 1999 Apr, 501-04, DOI:<u>10.1046/j.1365-2168.1999.01063.x</u>. PMID:10215824.

23.Horng-RenYang, Yu-Chun Wang, Ping-KueiChung, Wei-Kung Chen, Long-BinJeng, Ray-Jade ChenLaboratory Tests In Patients With Acute Appendicitis, ANZ J. Surg, 76,2006February, 71–7, doi: 10.1111/j.1445-2197.2006.03645.x

24. Kotagal M, Richards MK, Flum DR, Acierno SP, Weinsheimer RL, GoldinAB, Use and accuracy of diagnostic imaging in the evaluation of pediatricappendicitis, J Pediatr Surg, 50(4), 2015 Apr,642-646, doi: 10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2014.09.080, PMID:25840079

25. Suzanne Schuh, CarinaMan, Adam Cheng, Amanda Murphy, Arun Mohanta, Rahim Moineddin, , George Tomlinson, Jacob C. Langer, Andrea S. Doria, redictors of non-diagnostic ultrasoundscanning in children with suspected appendicitis, J Pediatr, 158(1), 2011January, 112-118.

26. Brennan GD, Pediatric appendicitis: pathophysiologyand appropriate use of diagnostic imaging, CJEM, 8(6), 2006Nov,425-432, PMID:17209492

27. Al-Mulhim AS, Nasser MA, Abdullah MM, Ali AM, Kaman L, Emergency laparoscopy for acute abdominal conditions:a prospective study, J LaparoendoscAdvSurg TechA, 18(4), 2008 Aug, 599-602, doi:10.1089/lap.2007.0199, PMID:18721012

28. Jerry L, Reginald W. Dusing, Wendell Yap, Jared Dirks, Imaging for Suspected Appendicitis, Am Fam Physician, 71(1), 2005 Jan, 71-78.

29. Salkind AR, Rao KC, Antibiotic prophylaxis toprevent surgical site infections, *Am* Fam Physician, 83(5), 2011, 585-590.

30. Bucher BT, Warner BW, Dillon PA, Antibioticprophylaxis and the prevention of surgical site infection,CurrOpinPediatr, 23(3),2011Jun,334-338, doi:10.1097/MOP.0b013e3283464a75, PMID:21494149.

31. Liberman MA, Greason KL, Frame S et al. Single-dose cefotetan or cefoxitin versus multiple-dose cefoxitin as prophylaxis in patients undergoing appendectomy for acute nonperforated appendicitis, J Am Coll Surg, 180(1) ,1995 Jan,77-80, PMID:8000659.

32. Serrano-Heranz R, Antimicrobial prophylaxis for surgery, Rev Esp Quimioter , 19(4), 2006 Dec, 323-31, PMID:17235400.

33. Solomkin JS, Mazuski JE, Bradley JS, Rodvold KA, Goldstein EJ, Baron EJ, O'Neill PJ, Chow AW, Dellinger EP, Eachempati SR, Gorbach S, Hilfiker M, May AK, Nathens AB, Sawyer RG, Bartlett JG, Diagnosis and management of complicated intraabdominal



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

infection in adults and children: guidelines by the Surgical Infection Society and the Infectious Diseases Society of America, Clin Infect Dis, 50(2),2010Jan 15,133-164, doi: 10.1086/649554.

34. Bennett J,Bobdy A,Rhodes M, Choice of approach for appendectomy:a meta analysis of open versus laproscopic appendectomy, Surg Laparosc Endosc Percut Techn, 17(4), 2007 Aug, 245-55, DOI:<u>10.1097/SLE.0b013e318058a117</u>, PMID: 17710043.

35. Chung RS ,Rowland DY ,Li P ,Diaz J. A meta analysis of randomized controlled trials of laproscopic versus conventional appendectomy , Am J Surg, 177(3), 1999 Mar, 250-6, DOI:<u>10.1016/s0002-9610(99)00017-3</u>, PMID:10219865.

36. Dai L, Shuai J, Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in adults and children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, United European Gastroenterol J, 5(4), 2017 Jun, 542-553, doi:10.1177/2050640616661931,PMID:28588886.

37. Ranji SR, Goldman LE, Simel DL, Shojania KG, Do opiates affect the clinical evaluation of patients with acute abdominal pain JAMA, 296(14), 2006 Oct 11,1764-74, DOI: 10.1001/jama.296.14.1764, PMID: 17032990.

38. Mousavi SM, Paydar S, Tahmasebi S, Ghahramani L. The effects of intravenous acetaminophen on pain and clinical findings of patients with acute appendicitis; a randomized clinical trial, Bull

Emerg Trauma, 2(1), 2014 Jan, 22-6, PMCID:<u>PMC4771255</u>, PMID:27162859.

39. Mandeville K, Monuteaux M, Pottker T, Bulloch B, Effects of timing to diagnosis and appendectomy in pediatric appendicitis, Pediatr Emerg Care, 31(11), 2015 Nov, 7538, doi:10.1097/PEC.00000000000596, PMID:26535497.

40. van den Bogaard VA, Euser SM, van der Ploeg T, de Korte N, Sanders DG, de Winter D, Vergroesen D, van Groningen K, de Winter P, Diagnosing perforated appendicitis in pediatric patients: a new model, J Pediatr Surg, 51(3), 2016Mar, 444-8,doi:10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.10.054, PMID:26628202.

41.*Narsule CK, Kahle EJ, Kim DS*, Anderson AC, Luks *FI*, Effect of delay in presentation on rate of perforation in children with appendicitis, 29(8), 2011 Oct, 890-3, doi:10.1016/j.ajem.2010.04.005, PMID:20627213.

42. Sirikurnpiboon S, Amornpornchareon S, Factors Associated with Perforated Appendicitis in Elderly Patients in a Tertiary Care Hospital, Surg Res Pract, 9(1), 2014 Jan 15, 6, doi: 10.1186/1749-7922-9-6, PMID:24428909.

43. Drake FT, Mottey NE, Farrokhi ET, Florence MG, Johnson MG, Mock C, Steele SR, Thirlby RC, Flum DR, Time to appendectomy and risk of perforation in acute appendicitis, JAMA Surg, 149(8), 2014Aug,837-44, doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2014.77, PMID: 24990687.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.