



# Mutation Screening and CGG-Repeat Distribution of the FMR1 gene Among Mentally Retarded and Autistic Patients in Algeria

Aicha Zoubeida Benmostefa<sup>\*1</sup>, Dalila Satta<sup>2</sup>, Noureddine Abadi<sup>3</sup>, Isabel Creveaux<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Recherche en Biochimie et Toxicologie Environnementale, Dépt de Biochimie, Université Badji-Mokhtar, Annaba, Algeria. <sup>2</sup>Département de Biologie Animale, Université Mentouri, Constantine, Algeria. <sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Biologie et Génétique Moléculaire, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire Ben Badis, Constantine, Algeria. <sup>4</sup>Laboratoire de Biochimie Médicale et Biologie Moléculaire, Faculté de Médecine, Clermont-Ferrand, France.

\*Corresponding author's E-mail: zoubenmostefa@gmail.com

Accepted on: 07-09-2015; Finalized on: 31-01-2016.

#### ABSTRACT

Fragile X syndrome (FXS) is the leading cause of inherited mental retardation. The underlying molecular alteration consists of a CGGrepeat expansion within the FMR1 gene. Therefore, searching for CGG expansion at the FXS locus among the mentally retarded should become a routine investigation in neuro-paediatric practice. This study was to establish a molecular diagnosis in Algerian patients exhibiting mental retardation with or without autistic features. It is important for the fragile X diagnosis to establish whether the range of allele distribution in Algerian patients are comparable to other populations, and if it is observed the same pattern of expansion associated with the disease. PCR was undertaken on 60 samples followed by Southern blot to analyze the CGG repeat number and methylation status. The molecular findings indicated in one young patient, diagnosed as autistic, mosaics mutations of 360 and 330 repetitions, while his mother was found carrying a premutated allele of 87 repetitions, and his two years old sister was found to have a contracted premutated allele of 63 repetitions of the CGG repeat. The distribution of the CGG repeats falls within the 30 repeats (17%), followed by a 31 repeat sizes (12%) instead of the 29 repeats as in the Caucasian population. The results of this study reconfirmed previous reports that the pattern of FMR1 CGG repeat alleles is different regarding the racial/ethnical population studied. In conclusion, the detection of the FXS mutations has allowed us to offer more informed genetic counseling and reliable patient follow-up.

Keywords: autism, CGG repeats, *FMR1* gene, fragile X Syndrome, mental retardation.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

ragile X syndrome (FXS) (OMIM n 300624) is the most common cause of inherited mental retardation and the second most common cause of genetically associated mental retardation following trisomy 21<sup>1,2</sup>. FXS is caused by a dynamic mutation which involves an unstable expansion of a trinucleotide CGG repeat at the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of the fragile X mental retardation 1 (FMR1) gene, located at Xq27.3<sup>3,4</sup>. In the general population, individuals carry 6-52 CGG repeats and the triplet number is usually stably transmitted. Individuals carrying alleles 53-200 repeats are called premutated, the repeats are unstable through maternal transmission and tend to extend more often than contract<sup>3,5</sup>. Affected individuals carry alleles > 200 repeats called full mutation which is generally associated with hypermethylation at the FMR1 promoter and consequent transcriptional silencing<sup>6-9</sup>. The silencing of the FMR1 gene leads to the deficiency of the fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) thus causes the classical FXS<sup>10</sup>. Moreover, there is a grey-zone with repeats between 45-55, these alleles called intermediate, are occasionally unstable and they are potential precursor of a premutation in subsequent generations<sup>11</sup>. Size is not the only factor implicated in all instability. The number and position of AGG interspersions determining long uninterrupted CGG arrays at the 3' and 5' ends of the repeat<sup>12</sup>, haplotype background and parental origin,

have been evoked as predisposing factors leading a common/intermediate allele to progress toward a mutation state<sup>13,14</sup>.

The main clinical features in males are intellectual disability and behavioral problems including anxiety, aggression, hyperactivity, impulsivity, shyness, attention deficit disorder, and autism<sup>15-18</sup> as well as macroorchidism, and a long narrow face with large averted ears<sup>19-21</sup>.

Numerous studies have found FXS in every ethnic group that has been evaluated with an incidence of 1 in 4500 males and 1 in 9000 females whereas the actual incidence of at-risk individuals is estimated at 1 in 1000 for males and 1 in 4000 for females<sup>22</sup>. The population-based prevalence study of full mutation of *FMR1* through DNA analysis was now estimated 1 in 4000 males and 1 in 8000 females<sup>23</sup>.

Furthermore, there have been growing evidences of premutation-associated to clinical phenotypes such as milder forms of FXS including autistic features<sup>24</sup>, developmental delay and latter phenotypes of fragile X-tremor ataxia syndrome in older premutated male carriers, and premature ovarian failure before the age of 40 in premutated females<sup>25</sup>.

Considering the prevalence of full mutation and premutation as well as their consequences on children's



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

© Copyright protected. Unauthorised republication, reproduction, distribution, dissemination and copying of this document in whole or in part is strictly prohibited.

development and their latter phenotypes, the impact of FXS is thought to be much more enormous than what has earlier been thought.

There have been growing interests worldwide on the molecular diagnosis and clinical experiences on FXS. At present, polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and Southern blotting are considered as the golden standard for the diagnosis of FXS<sup>26,27</sup>.

Although FXS has been identified in all the main ethnic groups, little is known about its prevalence with respect to ethnicity<sup>28,29</sup>.

According to the literature and many reports, the screening of the FMR1 gene has never been conducted among MR (mentally retarded) patients neither on autistic patients in Algeria.

Therefore, this investigation is the first one done in the assessment of a molecular diagnosis on those specific patients.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Patients and clinical assessments

Patients were recruited in three different psychiatric clinical practicing in Annaba (North-East of Algeria). Patients were recruited for participation if they were receiving special education services based on a cognitive disability (mental retardation or learning disability). This ongoing study was designed to collect families with one or more mental retarded (MR) offspring with or without autistic features. 55 patients were selected (15 females and 40 males), and 3 asymptomatic relatives (2 females and 1 male) for a total of 58 samples. The mean age of the sample was  $08.46 \pm 3.65$  years and patients ranged from 02 to 20 years of age.

Dysmorphic features typical of the fragile X syndrome were not seen in any of the selected patients.

Collection of the samples was done according to the ethical of our laboratory guidelines. Legal representatives of all the patients gave their informed consent prior to molecular genetics research.

### **DNA** samples

To study CGG transmission following FXS genotype, genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes (5 to 10 ml of whole blood) according to standard procedures<sup>30</sup>.

### Fluorescent PCR analysis

PCR amplification (TECHNE 200) was carried out on both loci FRAXA<sup>3</sup> and FRAXE<sup>31</sup>. Briefly, 200 ng of genomic DNA were used in a total volume of 20  $\mu$ l, containing: 5 pM of each primer FRAXA (Eurogentec): forward **(FAM)** 5' AGC CCC GCA CTT CCA CCA CCA GCT CCT CCA 3' and reverse 5' GCT CAG CTC CGT TTC GGT TTC ACT TCC GGT 3' and 20 pM of each primer FRAXE (Eurogentec): forward **(HEX)** 5' GCG AGG AAG CGG CGG CAG TGG CAC TGG 3' and reverse 5' CCT GTG AGT GTG TAA GTG TGT GAT GCT GCC G 3', and 200  $\mu$ M of each dNTP (Promega), 3% of MgSO<sub>4</sub> (Kit PLATINIUM<sup>®</sup>), 2 $\mu$ l of 10X PCR buffer, 40% of PCR enhancer (Kit PLATINIUM<sup>®</sup>) and 1.25 units of Platinium *pfx* DNA Polymerase.

Amplifications were initiated with a primary denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec, annealing at 64°C for 2 min and elongation at 72°C for 10 min. The final elongation was carried out at 72°C for 10 min.

#### **Capillary electrophoresis**

The PCR products (2 µl) were mixed with 0.5µl size standard (ILS600, Promega) and 10µl deionized formamide (Hi-Di: Applied Biosystems) and heated for 5 min at 95°C. The DNA fragments were separated by automated capillary electrophoresis according to the manufacturer's instructions, in a polymer POP 7 3130 Genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems) with a capacity of 16 samples per run.

Fragment analysis was performed with GenMapper v 4.0 software (Applied Biosystems).

### Southern-blot

A total of 4 individuals (3 females and 1 male) were studied, 2 females and 1 male from one family case and 1 unrelated female, along with normal and premutated female controls. The protocol reported<sup>32</sup> was followed with some modifications: 0.5  $\mu$ g of DNA were digested for 5 h at 37°C with 10 units of Eagl (Biolabs) and 20 units of EcoRI (Biolabs) in a final volume of 40  $\mu$ I, including 1X Digestion Buffer and 100  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ I BSA spermidine. 15 units of Eagl and 20 units of EcoRI were added (after 5 h and 18 h); the final lap of digestion was left 8 h then stopped by the addition of 10% of blocking solution.

Electrophoreses was performed on a 0.8% Agarose gel overnight at 3.5 V/cm, and a molecular weight marker was run in parallel (2 Kb to 12 Kb). To depurinate DNA fragments, gel was treated in 0.25 N HCl for 10 min. Denaturation was performed in 0.5 M NaOH and 1.5 M NaCl for 2 x 15 min, followed by neutralization in 0.5 M Tris-HCl and 0.5 M NaCl for 2 x 15 min, after which the gel was equilibrated in 20 X SSC for 10 min. All of the above steps were performed in room temperature with shaking. Upward capillary transfer of the DNA was performed overnight onto positively charged nylon membranes (HYBOND N<sup>+</sup>) in 20X SSPE. An StB12.3 probe (CREGEMES: Centre Régional de Génétique Médicale de Strasbourg), was radioactively labeled (Random Primed: InVitrogen). Pre-hybridization and hybridization were carried out in 40% formamide, 0.5X SSC, 0.1% SDS and 200 mg/ml of salmon sperm denatured DNA (10 min at 100°C) at 65°C for 1 and 16 h respectively, followed by the following washes: 0.5X SSC, 0.1% SDS for 4 x 15 min at 60°C.



International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research

#### (CGG) repetition distribution

In order to study the pattern of repeat size for the FMR1 gene in our Algerian panel, 66 chromosomes of unrelated individuals (28 from females and 38 from males) were analyzed and compared to published Caucasian and non-Caucasian distribution among MR patients and/or on normal population.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The size of the fragments obtained by PCR and Southernblot analyses and the classification of the alleles according to the degree of (CGG) repeats detected in males and females are shown in Table 1.

#### **Fluorescent PCR analysis**

PCR analysis of 60 individuals (19 females and 41 males) yielded the following results:

i) Presence of two alleles of normal size (267-315 bp) in 11 females (78%); ii) one normal allele size (298 bp) in 1 female (5%); iii) one normal allele size (288-343bp) in 37 males (85%); iv) DNA sample was not amplified in 1 male (2%), and one normal allele size (295 bp) and the second premutated in 2 females (11%) from the same family (Fig.1).

#### Southern-blot

Southern-blot was carried out on samples showing one allele amplified in 3 females suggesting either they are normal homozygous or XFRA carriers, and on 1 autistic male, which DNA failed to amplify, suggesting a too large repeat to be amplified by PCR.

Patient (DM): Southern blot results showed that this patient is homozygous for the size of the CGG repeat.

Family (4): Southern blot results showed that the mother (I1), was a carrier of a premutation (Fig.2, 466 bpfragments). The symptomatic proband DNA was not amplified, and thus he could be a carrier of a full mutation. His sister (II2) showed a unique band of 295 bp (normal allele size), suggesting homozygosis, but heterozygosis because of a full mutation cannot be ruled out, and thus, a Southern blot assay was carried out (Fig.2). The mother and the sister showed the typical Fragile-X-premutation carrier band pattern: 2.8 and 5.2 Kb bands denoted normal alleles of active and inactive X chromosome respectively, whereas 3.3 Kb bands indicated premutated allele. Therefore, the PCR results were confirmed in these cases.

The patient sister showed a contracted premutated allele of 63 (CGG) repeats while her mother showed a premutated allele of 87 (CGG) repeats.

The absence of the 2.8 Kb fragment and the presence of higher and different molecular weight bands in the patient (II1), denoted the presence of different full mutations (6 and 8 Kb), characteristic of a mosaic pattern.

#### (CGG) repeats distribution

Table 2 shows the distribution of allelic sizes in a total of 17 alleles, measured from 14 females and 38 males. Family members were discarded to avoid biasing results.

The number of trinucleotide repeats ranges from 18-46 CGG repeats (Tab.3).

Furthermore, we were able to characterize one allele with a repeat size of 46, which fall in the grey-zone (alleles between 45-55 CGG repeats).

 Table 1: Patients and families with the corresponding CGG bands size

	ŀ	ndividuals	Bands (bp)	
Unrelate	ed patients			
	37 males		258.11-343.82	
4	11 females		267.22-315.76	
14	1 female (D.M.)		298.3	
Families	k.			
	Family 1	Patient (male)	272.69	
		Mother	273.38-328.38	
-		Brother	272,57	
		Father	290.35	
•	Family 2	Patient (female)	293-299	
		Sister	294-300	
	Family 3	Patient (female)	296-302	
		Brother	296	
10-	Family 4	Patient (male)	FM mosaic	
		Mother	295.52/PM	
		Sister	295.66/PM	
FM Full	mutation PM.I	Premutation Bands in bo	ld are in the grey zone	



**Figure 1:** a-Pedigree of family (4) showing the molecular weight of PCR products with the corresponding (295 bp) normal alleles. b-Electrophoregrams of FRAXA (*blue*)/FRAXE (*green*) PCR products from family (4) members.





**Figure 2:** a. Pedigree of family (4) showing the carriers status of patients I1 and II2. b. Analysis of family (4) with StB12.3 hybridized to EcoRI/Eagl double digest. Lanes 1 and 7: Normal control female showing two bands of 2.8 and 5.2 kb, Lane 2: Premuted control female, Lane 3: Mother (I1) showing the presence of one normal band of 2.8 Kb and one band from the premutated alleles, Lane 4: Sister (II2) showing the presence of one normal band of 2.8 Kb and one band from premutated allele, Lane 5: Mutated control male, Lane 6: Patient (II1) showing the presence of two bands of 6 and 8 Kb (full mutated alleles).

 Table 2: Number of CGG repeats found in (66) analysed chromosomes

Number of repeats	Number of chromosomes			
18	1			
20	6			
22	1			
23	3			
25	1			
26	2			
28	1			
29	7			
30	17			
31	12			
32	7			
33	3			
34	1			
37	1			
40	1			
41	1			
46	1			
Total = 17	Total = 66			

**Table 3:** Polymorphism distribution of the CGG repeats among (66) chromosomes

quency	Percenta	ge	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative Percentage		
28 39	41.79 58.21		28 67	41.79 100.00		
Frequency	Percentag	e	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulati Percenta	ve ge	
1	1	. 52	1	1.5	2	
6	9	.09	7	10.6	1	
1	1	. 52	8	12.1	2	
3	4	. 55	11	16.6	7	
1	1	. 52	12	18.1	8	
2	3	.03	14	21.2	1	
1	1	. 52	15	22.7	3	
7	10	10.61	22	33.3	33.33	
17	25	.76	39	59.0	9	
12	18	.18	51	77.2	7	
7	10	. 61	58	87.8	8	
3	4	4.55 1.52 1.52	61	92.42		
1	1		62	93.9	93.94	
1	1		63	95.45		
1	1	. 52	64	96.9	7	
1	1	. 52	65	98.4	8	
1	1	. 52	66	100.0	0	
Nb M	ean value	SD	Min	imal	Maximal	
66	29.4	5.0	18	.0	46.0	
	puency           28           39           Frequency           1           6           1           7           17           12           7           3           1           1           1           1           1           1           1           66	puency         Percenta           28         41.79           39         58.21           Frequency         Percentag           1         1           6         9           1         1           3         4           1         1           3         4           1         1           7         10           3         4           1         1           7         10           3         4           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1           1         1      1	puency         Percentage           28         41.79           39         58.21           Frequency         Percentage           1         1.52           6         9.09           1         1.52           3         4.55           1         1.52           3         4.55           1         1.52           7         10.61           17         25.76           12         18.18           7         10.61           17         25.76           12         18.15           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1         1.52           1 <td>puency         Percentage         Frequency           28         41.79         28           39         58.21         67           Percentage         Cumulative Frequency         Cumulative           1         1.52         1           6         9.09         7           1         1.52         8           3         4.55         11           1         1.52         18           3         4.55         11           1         1.52         15           7         10.61         22           17         25.76         39           12         18.15         51           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         64           1         1.52         66           Nb         Mean value         SD         Min           66         29.4         5.0         18</td> <td>puency         Percentage         Frequency         Percentage           28         41.79         28         41.79           39         59.21         67         100.00           Frequency         Percentage         Cumulative Frequency         Cumulative Percentage         Cumulative Frequency           1         1.52         1         1.5           6         9.09         7         10.6           1         1.52         8         12.1           3         4.55         11         16.6           1         1.52         8         12.1           3         4.55         11         16.6           1         1.52         15         22.7           7         10.61         22         33.3           17         25.76         39         59.0           12         18.18         51         77.2           7         10.61         58         87.8           3         4.55         61         92.4           1         1.52         62         93.9           1         1.52         63         95.4           1         1.52         64         96.9</td>	puency         Percentage         Frequency           28         41.79         28           39         58.21         67           Percentage         Cumulative Frequency         Cumulative           1         1.52         1           6         9.09         7           1         1.52         8           3         4.55         11           1         1.52         18           3         4.55         11           1         1.52         15           7         10.61         22           17         25.76         39           12         18.15         51           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         62           1         1.52         64           1         1.52         66           Nb         Mean value         SD         Min           66         29.4         5.0         18	puency         Percentage         Frequency         Percentage           28         41.79         28         41.79           39         59.21         67         100.00           Frequency         Percentage         Cumulative Frequency         Cumulative Percentage         Cumulative Frequency           1         1.52         1         1.5           6         9.09         7         10.6           1         1.52         8         12.1           3         4.55         11         16.6           1         1.52         8         12.1           3         4.55         11         16.6           1         1.52         15         22.7           7         10.61         22         33.3           17         25.76         39         59.0           12         18.18         51         77.2           7         10.61         58         87.8           3         4.55         61         92.4           1         1.52         62         93.9           1         1.52         63         95.4           1         1.52         64         96.9	

# DISCUSSION

Fragile X syndrome is a very subtle dysmorphic syndrome and it is difficult to diagnose clinically<sup>33</sup>. Mental retardation (MR) in fragile X syndrome males varies from mild to profound with most affected males being moderately to severely retarded<sup>34</sup>. The phenotype is subtle in young children and the features become prominent as the child grows. Many of these features suggest the possibility of autism, 20% (ranging from 5 to 53%) FXMR patients had autistic features<sup>35</sup>. Furthermore, FXS is one of the most prevalent genetic causes of autism spectrum disorder<sup>20</sup>.

We conducted the FMR1 screening on 55 individuals (17 MR patients) and (38 autistic patients). Southern blot analysis with probe StB 12.3 after double digestion with EcoRI and Eagl in one individual (patient II1 from family 4) showed two bands of 6 and 8 kb corresponding to two different FMR1 mosaic full mutations. The mosaic pattern showed by Southern blot in our XFRA patient may be due to germ line and somatic instability of the (CGG)n repeats thus, the patient in this case had a milder phenotype compared to those with full mutation. The FXS clinical diagnosis of the proband was then redefined as he was first diagnosed as autistic because the strikingly similar behavior to that of individuals with autism, including pronounced social awkwardness, repetitive actions, language impairment and a restricted range of interests.

Analysis of the family members showed that the mother (patient I1) and the sister (patient II2) had premutations



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

(87 and 63 CGG repeats, respectively), thereby allowing the carrier status determination of both mother and sister, which led to a genetic counseling session, regarding the risk of passing a dynamic mutation to offspring, and to contract ovarian premature failure (POF), condition which is highly linked to premutated allele of FMR1<sup>23</sup>.

Fluorescent PCR amplification is useful for reliable assessment of Fragile X (CGG)n alleles in normal and premutation range. Since full mutation failed to amplify, false positive results may arise from polymerase inhibitors (running in parallel a normal control sample), and false negative results may arise from allele specific amplification of the normal allele in the heterozygotes and mosaic. The problem of allele specific amplification can be overcome by reanalysis by Southern blotting of all male samples without peaks and female samples with one peak.

Thus, the usefulness of PCR as a diagnostic method was restricted to prescreening for normal alleles and for this reason the characterization of mutations was performed by Southern blot assay, a method that can identify all the expanded fragments including premutations and full mutations<sup>36</sup>.

A normal Southern pattern does not imply an absence of Fragile-X Syndrome. Although the main causes of FXS are expansion of (CGG)n other mutations may also generate FXS such as deletions in the FMR1 gene<sup>37,38</sup>.

This is the first study on the distribution of the FMR1 size repeats in the Algerian population. It is important for the fragile X diagnosis to establish whether the range of allele distribution is comparable to other populations, and if it is observed the same pattern of expansion associated with the disease.

Our mode of distribution falls within the 30 repeats (17%), followed by a 31 repeat sizes (12%) instead of the 29 repeats as in the Caucasian population<sup>39</sup>. As in other studies, there is a characteristic pile up around 20 and 30 repeats, and a dip at 21 repeats<sup>3</sup>.

The results of this study reconfirmed previous reports that the pattern of FMR1 CGG repeat alleles is different regarding the racial/ethnical population studied.

# CONCLUSION

There are many reasons to make the diagnosis of FXS including genetic counseling and improved treatment. Once a child is diagnosed with FXS or the fragile X premutation, a genetic counseling is important because a variety of problems that can occur in other family members, such as a grandparent or uncle that may have tremor, ataxia or dementia or an aunt with POF or a sibling with emotional difficulties. Therefore all individuals with autism or autism spectrum disorders need to have fragile X DNA testing to identify premutations or full mutations.

**Acknowledgement:** This study was supported by a grant from the Algerian Ministry of Higher Education (PNE: 213).

I would like to thank R. Clermont and A. Dion for their excellent technical assistance. I express also my gratitude to S. Labidi and Y. Krechiem for their help in collecting samples; to I. Ughetto for her help in handling the statistical data and to A. Boumendjel and Z. Kechrid for critical reading of the manuscript. I would like to thank all the patients whose participation made this study possible.

#### REFERENCES

- Nygren AO, Lens SI, Carvalho R, Methylation-specific multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification enables a rapid and reliable distinction between male FMR1 premutation and fullmutation alleles. *J.Mol Diagn*, 10(6), (2008), 496-501.
- Tranfaglia MR, The Psychiatric Presentation of Fragile X: Evolution of the Diagnosis and Treatment of the Psychiatric Comorbidities of Fragile X Syndrome. *Dev Neurosci*, 33, 2011, 337–348.
- 3. Fu YH, Kuhl DP, Pizzuti A, Pieretti M, Sutcliffe JS, Richards S, Verkerk AJ, Variation of the CGG repeat at the fragile X site results in genetic instability: resolution of the Sherman paradox. *Cell*, 67(6), 1991, 1047-58.
- Verkerk AJ, Pieretti M, Sutcliffe JS, Fu YH, Kuhl DP, Pizzuti A, Reiner O, Richards S, Victoria MF, Zhang F, Eussen BE, van Ommen GB, Blonden LJ, Riggins GJ, Chastain JL, Kunst CB, Galjaard H, Caskey CT, Nelson DL, Oostra BA, Warren ST, Identification of a gene (*FMR-1*) containing a CGG repeat coincident with a breakpoint cluster region exhibiting length variation in fragile X syndrome. *Cell.*, 65(5), 1991, 905-14.
- Tabolacci E, Moscato U, Zalfa F, Bagni C, Chiurazzi P, Neri G, Epigenetic analysis reveals a euchromatic configuration in the *FMR1* unmethylated full mutations. *European Journal of Human Genetics*, 16, 2008, 1487–1498.
- Pieretti M, Zhang FP, Fu YH, Warren ST, Oostra BA, Caskey CT, Nelson DL, Absence of expression of the FMR-1 gene in fragile X syndrome. *Cell*, 66, 1991, 817–822.
- 7. Zeier Z, Kumar A, Bodhinathan K, Feller JA, Foster TC, Bloom DC, Fragile X mental retardation protein replacement restores hippocampal synaptic function in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome. *Gene Ther*, 16, 2009, 1122–1129.
- 8. Hammond LS, Macias MM, Tarleton JC, Shashidhar Pai G, Fragile X syndrome and deletions in FMR1: New case and review of the literature. *Am. J. Med. Genet.*, 72, 1997, 430–434.
- 9. Sutcliffe JS, Nelson DL, Zhang F, Pieretti M, Caskey CT, Saxe D, Warren ST, DNA methylation represses FMR-1 transcription in fragile X syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* (1), 1992, 397–400.
- Garber KB, Smith KT, Warren ST Transcription, translation and fragile X syndrome. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, 16, 2006, 270–275.
- Rifé M, Badenas C, Quintó LI, Puigoriol E, Tazón B, Rodriguez-Revenga L, Jiménez L, Sánchez A, Milà M, Analysis of CGG variation through 642 meioses in Fragile X families. *Molecular Human Reproduction*, 10(10), 2004, 773–776.
- Dombrowski C, Lévesque S, Morel ML, Rouillard P, Morgan K, Rousseau F, Premutation and intermediate-size FMR1 alleles in 10572 males from the general population: loss of an AGG interruption is a late event in the generation of fragile X syndrome alleles. *Hum Mol Genet*, 11(4), 2002, 371-378.
- Nolin SL, Brown WT, Glicksman A, Houck GE Jr, Gargano AD, Sullivan A, Biancalana V, Bröndum-Nielsen K, Hjalgrim H, Holinski-



© Copyright protected. Unauthorised republication, reproduction, distribution, dissemination and copying of this document in whole or in part is strictly prohibited.

Feder E, Kooy F, Longshore J, Macpherson J, Mandel JL, Matthijs G, Rousseau F, Steinbach P, Väisänen ML, von Koskull H, Sherman SL, Expansion of the Fragile X CGG Repeat in Females with Premutation or Intermediate Alleles. *Am J Hum Genet*, 72, 2003, 454–464.

- Zhong N, Yang W, Dobkin K, Brown WT, Fragile X Gene Instability: Anchoring AGGs and Linked Microsatellites *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, 57, 1995, 351-361.
- 15. Cummings CJ, Zoghbi HY Trinucleotide repeats: mechanisms and pathophysiology. *Ann Rev Genomics Hum Genet*, 1, 2000, 281-328.
- 16. Farzin F, Perry H, Hessl D, Loesch D, Cohen J, Bacalman S, Gane L, Tassone F, Hagerman P, Hagerman R, Autism spectrum disorders and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in boys with the fragile X syndrome. *J Dev Behav Pediatr.*, 27(2), 2006, 137-144.
- 17. García-Nonell C, Rigau-Ratera E, Artigas-Pallarés J, Autism in fragile X syndrome. *Rev Neurol*, 42(2), 2006, S95-S98.
- Hagerman RJ, Berry-Kravis E, Kaufmann WE, Ono MY, Tartaglia N, Lachiewicz A, Kronk R, Delahunty C, Hessl D, Visootsak J, Picker J, Gane L, Tranfaglia M, Advances in the treatment of fragile X syndrome. *Pediatrics*, 123, 2009, 378–390.
- 19. Martin JP, Bell J, A pedigree of mental defect showing sexlinkage. *J Neurol Psych*, 6, 1943, 154-157.
- 20. Sutherland GR, Ashforth PLC, X-linked mental retardation with macro-orchidism and the fragile site at Xq27 or 28. *Hum Genet*, 48, 1979, 117-20.
- 21. Turner G, Daniel A, Frost M, X-linked mental retardation, macroorchidism, and the Xq27 fragile site. *Pediatr*, 96, 1980, 837-41.
- Warren ST, Sherman SL, (2001), The fragile X syndrome: in *The metabolic and Molecular Basis of Inherited Disease*. Saiver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS and Valle D (eds.), 1257-1289, Mc Graw Hill, New York, USA.
- García-Alegría E, Ibáñez B, Mínguez M, Poch M, Valiente A, Sanz-Parra A, Martinez-Bouzas C, Beristain E, Tejada MI, Analysis of FMR1 gene expression in female premutation carriers using robust segmented linear regression models. *RNA*, 13, 2007, 756–762.
- 24. Boyle L, Kaufmann WE, The behavioural phenotype of *FMR1* mutations. *Am J Med Part C Semin Med Genet*, 154C, 2010, 469-476.
- Biancalana V, Toft M, Le Ber I, Tison F, Scherrer E, Thibodeau S, Mandel JL, Brice A, Farrer MJ, Dürr A, *FMR1* Premutations Associated With Fragile X-Associated Tremor/Ataxia Syndrome In Multiple System Atrophy. *Arch. Neurol.*, 62, 2005, 962-966.
- 26. O'Connell CD, Atha DH, Jakupciak JP, Amos JA, Richie K, Standardization of PCR amplification for fragile X trinucleotide repeat measurements. *Clin Genet*, 61, 2002, 13-20.

- 27. Gold B, Radu D, Balanko A, Chiang CS, Diagnosis of Fragile X syndrome by Southern blot hybridization using a chemiluminescent probe: a laboratory protocol. *Mol Diagn*, 5, 2000, 69-78.
- Crawford DC, Zhang F, Wilson B, Warren ST, Sherman SL, Fragile X CGG repeat structure among African-Americans: identification of a novel factor responsible for repeat instability. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, 9, 12, 2000, 1759-1769.
- 29. Crawford DC, Acŭna JM, Sherman SL, *FMR1* and the fragile X syndrome: human genome epidemiology review. *Genetics in Medicine*, 3(5), 2001, 359-371.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T, (1989) eds. *Molecular cloning:* A laboratory manual. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- 31. Wang Q, Green E, Bobrow M, Mathews CG, A rapid, nonradioactive screening test for fragile X mutations at the FRAXA and FRAXE loci. *J Med Genet*, 32(3), 1995, 170–173.
- Milá M, Castellví-Bel S, Sánchez A, Lázaro C, Villa M, Estivill X, Mosaicism for the fragile X syndrome full mutation and deletion within the CGG repeat of the *FMR1* gene. *J.Med.Genet.*, 33, 1996, 338-340.
- 33. Garber KB, Visootsak J, Warren ST, Fragile X syndrome European. J of Hum Genet, 16, 2008, 666–672.
- Rousseau F, Heitz D, Tarleton J, MacPherson J, Malmgren H, Dahl N, Barnicoat A, A multicenter study on genotype-phenotype correlations in the fragile x syndrome, using direct diagnosis with probe StB12.3: the first 2253 cases. *Am J Hum Genet*, 55(2), 1994, 225–237.
- Hallmayer J, Pintado E, Lotspeich L, Spiker D, McMahon W, Petersen PB, Nicholas P, Pingree C, Kraemer HC, Wong DL, Ritvo E, Lin A, Hebert J, Cavalli-Sforza LL, Ciaranello RD, Molecular Analysis and Test of Linkage between the *FMRI* Gene and Infantile Autism in Multiplex Families. *Am. J. Hum. Genet*, 55, 1994, 951-959.
- Florancia G, Irene S, Veronica F, Fragile-X mental retardation: molecular diagnosis in Argentine patients. J Biochem Mol Biol, 39(6), 2006, 766-773.
- 37. Wells RD, Mutation spectra in Fragile X Syndrome Induced by deletions of CGG- CCG Repeats. *J.Biol.Chem.*, 284, 2008, 7407-7411.
- Coffey SM, Cook K, Tartaglia N, Tassone F, Nguyen DV, Pan R, Bronsky HE, Yuhas J, Borodyanskaya M, Grigsby J, Doerflinger M, Hagerman PJ, Hagerman RJ, Expanded Clinical Phenotype of Women With the FMR1 Premutation. *Am J Med Genet*, Part A 146A, 2008, 1009–1016.
- Kunst CB, Zerylnick C, Karickhoff L, Eichler E, Bullard J, Chalifoux M, Holden JJ, Torroni A, Nelson DL, Warren ST, FMR1 in global populations. *Am J Hum Genet*, 58(3), 1996, 513-22.

#### Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.



Available online at www.globalresearchonline.net

© Copyright protected. Unauthorised republication, reproduction, distribution, dissemination and copying of this document in whole or in part is strictly prohibited.